



IA FINANCIAL CORPORATION

# 2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

[.Read full terms of disclosure](#)

# Contents

<b>C1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>6</b>
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response? .....	6
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response. ....	6
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization. ....	6
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	7
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period? .....	8
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary. ....	8
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)? .....	8
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate. ....	10
(1.9) What was the size of your organization based on total assets value at the end of the reporting period?.....	11
(1.10) Which activities does your organization undertake, and which industry sectors does your organization lend to, invest in, and/or insure? .....	11
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain? .....	14
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of? .....	15
<b>C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities .....</b>	<b>17</b>
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? .....	17
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts? .....	18
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities? .....	19
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	20
(2.2.4) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to your portfolio activities? ..	24
(2.2.5) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities related to your portfolio activities? .....	26
(2.2.6) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities related to your portfolio activities. ....	26
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed? .....	37
(2.2.8) Does your organization consider environmental information about your clients/investees as part of your due diligence and/or environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessment process? .....	38

(2.2.9) Indicate the environmental information your organization considers about clients/investees as part of your due diligence and/or environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessment process, and how this influences decision-making. ....	39
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization? .....	41
<b>C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities .....</b>	<b>50</b>
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	50
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future? .....	51
<b>C4. Governance .....</b>	<b>52</b>
(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body? .....	52
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization? .....	53
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.....	54
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues? .....	56
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	56
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).....	57
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets? .....	59
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?.....	59
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies. ....	60
(4.7) Does the policy framework for the portfolio activities of your organization include environmental requirements that clients/investees need to meet, and/or exclusion policies?.....	63
(4.7.1) Provide details of the policies which include environmental requirements that clients/investees need to meet. ....	65
(4.9) Does your organization offer its employees a pension scheme that incorporates environmental criteria in its holdings?.....	69
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives? .....	70
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment? .....	71
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response? .....	72
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication. ....	72

<b>C5. Business strategy</b>	<b>79</b>
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	79
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?	80
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	80
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	81
(5.11.3) Provide details of your environmental engagement strategy with your clients.	83
(5.11.4) Provide details of your environmental engagement strategy with your investees.	85
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	87
(5.14) Do your external asset managers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s selection process and engagement?	89
(5.14.1) Provide details of the environmental requirements that external asset managers have to meet as part of your organization’s selection process and engagement.	89
(5.15) Does your organization exercise voting rights as a shareholder on environmental issues?	90
(5.15.1) Provide details of your shareholder voting record on environmental issues.	91
<b>C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach</b>	<b>93</b>
(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.	93
<b>C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change</b>	<b>95</b>
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?	95
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?	95
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	95
(7.1.3) Have your organization’s base year emissions and past years’ emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?.....	96
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	97
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	97
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?	97
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	98
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	106
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	108
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	110

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years. ....	118
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions. ....	119
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year? .....	120
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year. ....	120
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	126
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? .....	126
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken. ....	127
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.....	127
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year. ....	130
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations. ....	131
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year? .....	134
(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets. ....	134
(7.53.4) Provide details of the climate-related targets for your portfolio. ....	138
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	145
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases. ....	145
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings. ....	145
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below. ....	146
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities? .....	159
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	163

**C12. Environmental performance - Financial Services ..... 164**

(12.1) Does your organization measure the impact of your portfolio on the environment?.....	164
(12.1.1) Provide details of your organization's financed emissions in the reporting year and in the base year.....	166
(12.1.2) Disclose or restate your financed emissions for previous years. ....	167
(12.1.3) Provide details of the other metrics used to track the impact of your portfolio on the environment. ....	169
(12.2) Are you able to provide a breakdown of your organization's financed emissions and other portfolio carbon footprinting metrics?.....	171
(12.2.1) Break down your organization’s financed emissions and other portfolio carbon footprinting metrics by asset class, by industry, and/or by scope. ....	172

(12.3) State the values of your financing and insurance of fossil fuel assets in the reporting year.....	174
(12.5) In the reporting year, did your organization finance and/or insure activities or sectors that are aligned with, or eligible under, a sustainable finance taxonomy? If so, are you able to report the values of that financing and/or underwriting?.....	182
(12.6) Do any of your existing products and services enable clients to mitigate and/or adapt to the effects of environmental issues?.....	184
(12.6.1) Provide details of your existing products and services that enable clients to mitigate and/or adapt to the effects of environmental issues, including any taxonomy or methodology used to classify the products and services. ....	185

**C13. Further information & sign off ..... 190**

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? .....	190
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. ....	190

## C1. Introduction

### (1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

### (1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

CAD

### (1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

#### (1.3.1) Type of financial institution

Select from:

Asset manager

#### (1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

#### (1.3.3) Description of organization

*iA Financial Group is one of the largest insurance and wealth management groups in Canada, with operations in the United States. Founded in 1892, it is an important Canadian public company and is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the ticker symbols IAG (common shares) and IAF (preferred shares). iA Financial Group offers life and health insurance products, savings and retirement plans, RRSPs, mutual and segregated funds, securities, auto and home insurance, multi-residential and commercial mortgage loans and car loans and other financial products and services for both individuals and groups. iA Financial Group's sustainability approach is to contribute to the sustainable growth and wellbeing of its clients, employees, partners, investors and communities. The organization wants to have a non-financial performance that ensures organizational sustainability, and to create positive internal and external benefits for its stakeholders. In recent years, the Corporation has affirmed its commitment to advance its sustainability agenda by adopting the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, signing,*

through its subsidiary Industrial Alliance Investment Management Inc., the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment and progressively implementing the Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (“TCFD”).  
[Fixed row]

**(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.**

**(1.4.1) End date of reporting year**

12/31/2024

**(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for**

Select from:

2 years

**(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for**

Select from:

2 years

**(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for**

Select from:

2 years  
[Fixed row]

**(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?**

10856000000

**(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.**

	<b>Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?</b>
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?**

**ISIN code - bond**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:  
 Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

CA45075EAE47-C45075EAC80-CA45075EAA25-CA45075EAG94

**ISIN code - equity**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

CA4558711038

**CUSIP number**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

455871103

**Ticker symbol**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

Yes

**(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier**

IAG

**SEDOL code**

**(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

No

## LEI number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

549300ZXLJVHQAIXV215

## D-U-N-S number

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

### (1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

203792890

## Other unique identifier

### (1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

## (1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- Canada
- United States of America

**(1.9) What was the size of your organization based on total assets value at the end of the reporting period?**

109861000000

**(1.10) Which activities does your organization undertake, and which industry sectors does your organization lend to, invest in, and/or insure?**

**Banking (Bank)**

**(1.10.1) Activity undertaken**

Select from:

- No

**Investing (Asset manager)**

**(1.10.1) Activity undertaken**

Select from:

- Yes

**(1.10.3) Reporting the portfolio value and % of revenue associated with the portfolio**

Select from:

- Yes, the value of the portfolio based on total assets

**(1.10.4) Portfolio value based on total assets**

52575000000

## (1.10.6) Type of clients

Select all that apply

- Institutional investors
- Retail clients

## (1.10.7) Industry sectors your organization lends to, invests in, and/or insures

Select all that apply

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retail                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fossil Fuels         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Apparel                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manufacturing        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materials                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Power generation     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hospitality                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International bodies |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation services       |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food, beverage & agriculture  |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biotech, health care & pharma |  |

## Investing (Asset owner)

### (1.10.1) Activity undertaken

Select from:

- Yes

### (1.10.3) Reporting the portfolio value and % of revenue associated with the portfolio

Select from:

- Yes, the value of the portfolio based on total assets

### (1.10.4) Portfolio value based on total assets

57286000000

### (1.10.6) Type of clients

Select all that apply

- Asset owners

### (1.10.7) Industry sectors your organization lends to, invests in, and/or insures

Select all that apply

- Retail
- Apparel
- Services
- Materials
- Hospitality
- Transportation services
- Food, beverage & agriculture
- Biotech, health care & pharma
- Fossil Fuels
- Manufacturing
- Infrastructure
- Power generation
- International bodies

### Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)

#### (1.10.1) Activity undertaken

Select from:

- Yes

#### (1.10.2) Insurance types underwritten

Select all that apply

- General (non-life)
- Life and/or Health

#### (1.10.3) Reporting the portfolio value and % of revenue associated with the portfolio

Select from:

- Yes, the % of revenue associated with the portfolio

### (1.10.5) % of revenue

35

### (1.10.6) Type of clients

*Select all that apply*

- Retail clients
- Corporate and institutional clients (companies)

### (1.10.7) Industry sectors your organization lends to, invests in, and/or insures

*Select all that apply*

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retail                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fossil Fuels         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Apparel                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manufacturing        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materials                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Power generation     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hospitality                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International bodies |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation services       |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food, beverage & agriculture  |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biotech, health care & pharma |  |

*[Fixed row]*

## (1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

### (1.24.1) Value chain mapped

*Select from:*

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

### (1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Portfolio

### (1.24.5) Portfolios covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Investing (Asset owner)

### (1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

*In order to establish our decarbonization target, we have quantified the carbon intensity of our portfolio and analyzed our exposure to carbon intense companies. Carbon intensity was calculated as the amount of carbon emissions in total carbon dioxide content (tCO2) per million in revenue (CAD). It is important to mention that our investments in public corporate bonds are limited in terms of coverage. Some private companies do not disclose information about their carbon measurements. When this information is not available, we fall back on estimated or inherited data from the parent company. While this approach broadens the coverage of our portfolio, it may give a less accurate representation of the true carbon footprint. Over time, we expect to improve data coverage and quality through better disclosures and regulations. In the meantime, we will continue to use these figures, while keeping in mind their limitations. Companies that are not covered by MSCI are not included in the calculation. In terms of carbon intensity, the coverage ratio is 94% of the public corporate debt of the General Funds*

### (1.24.8) Primary reason for not mapping your upstream value chain or any value chain stages

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (1.24.9) Explain why your organization has not mapped its upstream value chain or any value chain stages

*While we do not have formal measurement processes in place, we are leveraging insights and knowledge gained from analyzing the general fund to inform our ad-hoc assessments of our portfolio. Due to resource constraints, we currently do not have specific processes in place to measure the our upstream value chain. Additionally, we are monitoring and waiting for further developments in the regulatory environment.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?**

#### (1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

*As a financial services and insurance industry, we focus for now on elements relevant to our industry, such as decarbonizing our real estate and investment portfolios.  
[Fixed row]*

## **C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities**

**(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?**

### **Short-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

0

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

3

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*The definition of short-term will vary depending on the process, initiative or objective. With respect to the classification of current and emerging risks, we generally consider the short term to be up to 2-3 years.*

### **Medium-term**

**(2.1.1) From (years)**

3

**(2.1.3) To (years)**

10

**(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning**

*The definition of medium-term will vary depending on the process, initiative or objective. Our strategy development function does not formally define time horizons; however, they generally consider the medium-term to be 3-10 years.*

## Long-term

### (2.1.1) From (years)

10

### (2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

Yes

### (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

*The definition of long-term will vary depending on the process, initiative or objective. Our strategy development function does not formally define time horizons; however, they generally consider the long-term to be beyond 10 years*

[Fixed row]

## (2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

### (2.2.1) Process in place

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.2) Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process

Select from:

Impacts only

### (2.2.4) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### **(2.2.5) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts and describe any plans to do so in the future**

*Our dependence as an insurer on environmental assets and ecosystem services has not been determined to be significant, although we do not exclude the possibility of reconsidering this at a later date.*

*[Fixed row]*

### **(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?**

#### **(2.2.1.1) Process in place**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(2.2.1.2) Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process**

Select from:

Risks only

#### **(2.2.1.3) Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(2.2.1.6) Explain why you do not have a process for evaluating both risks and opportunities that is informed by a dependencies and/or impacts process**

*In 2024, only a limited assessment of opportunities was carried out, with efforts primarily focused on operationalizing the process for identifying climate change risks and opportunities within its corporate strategy. iA Financial Group began to reflect on the development of this process and therefore plans to implement it in the course of 2025. To this end, the Corporation expects to begin by providing training and/or awareness-raising activities to its Reporting Subsidiaries to bring attention*

to climate-related issues and the opportunities these may represent. The aim is to equip them to integrate climate considerations into their business analyses and strategies and identify opportunities. In addition, the Corporation plans to create performance indicators to track the progress of identified opportunities.  
[Fixed row]

## **(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.**

### **Row 1**

#### **(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

#### **(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue**

*Select all that apply*

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

#### **(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Direct operations

#### **(2.2.2.4) Coverage**

*Select from:*

- Full

#### **(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment**

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- More than once a year

### (2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

### (2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

### (2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Not location specific

### (2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

- Enterprise Risk Management
- Risk models

### (2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

## Acute physical

- ☑ Drought
- ☑ Tornado
- ☑ Landslide
- ☑ Wildfires
- ☑ Heat waves

## Chronic physical

- ☑ Heat stress
- ☑ Sea level rise
- ☑ Coastal erosion
- ☑ Change in land-use
- ☑ Changing wind patterns

## Policy

- ☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ☑ Changes to national legislation

## Market

- ☑ Changing customer behavior
- ☑ Contraction of insurance markets, leaving clients exposed and changing the risk parameters of the credit
- ☑ Loss of clients due to a fund's poor environmental performance outcomes (e.g. if a fund has suffered climate-related write-downs)
- ☑ Rise in risk-based pricing of insurance policies (beyond demand elasticity)
- ☑ Uncertainty in the market signals

## Reputation

- ☑ Impact on human health
- ☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ☑ Insurance underwriting that could create or contribute to systemic risk for the economy
- ☑ Investing that could create or contribute to systemic risk for the economy
- ☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

- ☑ Cold wave/frost
- ☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

- ☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☑ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

## Technology

- Data access/availability or monitoring systems

## Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations
- Regulation and supervision of environmental risk in the financial sector

### (2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indigenous peoples |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers |  |

### (2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- Yes

### (2.2.2.16) Further details of process

*The climate risk management process at iA Financial Group is an iterative and continuous process that ensures risks remain within iA Financial Group's risk appetite and tolerance. As part of this process, climate risks are considered cross-cutting risk factors and are integrated into the overall risk management framework. The phases of risk identification, definition of risk appetite and tolerance, assessment and management, monitoring, and reporting are detailed in the Integrated Risk Management Corporate Policy, adopted in November 2023. In 2024, iA Financial Group initiated work to implement new regulatory requirements related to climate risk management, following the entry into force of a directive issued by the Autorité des marchés financiers (AMF). This implementation effort is designed to evolve progressively through 2025 and 2026, in alignment with regulatory expectations. In the specific context of climate risk assessment, iA Financial Group relies on relevant data and methodologies recognized by the industry, when available, and on expert risk judgments. This assessment is complemented by scenario analyses and stress tests to validate qualitative assessments, identify vulnerabilities, and ensure that a sufficient level of liquidity and capital is maintained, where appropriate. In developing its climate change risk assessment approach, iA Financial Group drew inspiration from recognized frameworks, standards, and industry initiatives, as*

well as peer practices and regulatory guidance. The potential impacts of climate risks on iA Financial Group and its activities, as well as the negative repercussions on its various stakeholders, must be assessed over several time horizons, as these risks may manifest differently or intensify over longer-term horizons (10 years or more). If a risk exceeds the established tolerance, escalation procedures are triggered. The appetite and tolerance for climate risks are defined in the Risk Appetite and Tolerance Statement, while limits specific to relevant risk categories are integrated into corporate risk management policies or related frameworks, as applicable. Regular risk and risk management reporting is provided to the Executive Risk Management Committee and the Risk, Governance and Ethics Committee of the Board through the quarterly CRO's report. Where appropriate, this reporting includes emerging risks or significant events to reflect changes in climate risks and climate risk management activities.

[Add row]

## **(2.2.4) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to your portfolio activities?**

### **Investing (Asset manager)**

#### **(2.2.4.1) Process in place covering this portfolio**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(2.2.4.2) Dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio evaluated in this process**

Select from:

Impacts only

#### **(2.2.4.3) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio**

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

#### **(2.2.4.4) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio and describe any plans to evaluate this in the future**

*At present, our ability to directly assess the environmental dependencies to our portfolio activities is limited by the data and capacity available to us. However, we are actively exploring ways to enhance our data collection and analysis capabilities in this area.*

## Investing (Asset owner)

### (2.2.4.1) Process in place covering this portfolio

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.4.2) Dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio evaluated in this process

Select from:

Impacts only

### (2.2.4.3) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (2.2.4.4) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio and describe any plans to evaluate this in the future

*At present, our ability to directly assess the environmental dependencies to our portfolio activities is limited by the data and capacity available to us. However, we are actively exploring ways to enhance our data collection and analysis capabilities in this area.*

## Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)

### (2.2.4.1) Process in place covering this portfolio

Select from:

Yes

### (2.2.4.2) Dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio evaluated in this process

Select from:

Impacts only

**(2.2.4.3) Primary reason for not evaluating dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio**

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

**(2.2.4.4) Explain why you do not evaluate dependencies and/or impacts related to this portfolio and describe any plans to evaluate this in the future**

*At present, our ability to directly assess the environmental dependencies and impacts related to our portfolio activities is limited by the data available to us. However, we are actively exploring ways to enhance our data collection and analysis capabilities in this area.*

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.5) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities related to your portfolio activities?**

	Process in place covering this portfolio	Risks and/or opportunities related to this portfolio are evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
Investing (Asset manager)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Investing (Asset owner)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(2.2.6) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities related to your portfolio activities.**

## Investing (Asset manager)

### (2.2.6.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (2.2.6.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this portfolio

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (2.2.6.3) % of portfolio covered by the assessment process in relation to total portfolio value

100

### (2.2.6.4) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.6.5) Industry sectors covered by the assessment

Select all that apply

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retail                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fossil Fuels            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Apparel                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manufacturing           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materials                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Power generation        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hospitality                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation services |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food, beverage & agriculture  |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biotech, health care & pharma |   |

### (2.2.6.6) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Not defined

### (2.2.6.7) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

### (2.2.6.8) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk assessment process

### (2.2.6.9) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Local
- Sub-national
- National

### (2.2.6.10) Tools and methods used

Select all that apply

- Internal tools/methods

### (2.2.6.11) Risk type and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Drought
- Tornado
- Heat waves
- Cold wave/frost

- Avalanche
- Landslide
- Wildfires
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

#### Chronic physical

- Heat stress
- Increased severity of extreme weather events

#### Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- Changes to national legislation
- Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits

#### Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior

#### Reputation

- Investing that could create or contribute to systemic risk for the economy
- Lending that could create or contribute to systemic risk for the economy
- Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

#### Technology

- Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

#### Liability

- Exposure to litigation

- Pollution incident
- Glacial lake outburst
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

- Non-compliance with regulations
- Regulation and supervision of environmental risk in the financial sector

### (2.2.6.12) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indigenous peoples |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers |  |

### (2.2.6.13) Further details of process

*We identify sector-specific or issuer-specific material ESG and environmental issues. These issues could have an impact on the financial performance of the issuers in which we invest. Consideration of these issues is part of our fiduciary duty. The risk and opportunity seeking is consistent with a manager's fiduciary duty to consider all relevant information and material risks in investment analysis and decision making. More complex processes exist for certain asset class where these risk could have a lasting impact. The scope of the analysis involves understanding the ESG risk exposure of the company, aligning closely with the SASB materiality map, and subsequently evaluating the firm's management of these risks. The objective is to assess if these risks could potentially materialize into financial risks in the future. With our carbon intensity reduction target, we aim to manage our climate-related risk management through a reduce exposure (or exposure to best-in-class issuers) in carbon-intensive sectors*

## Investing (Asset owner)

### (2.2.6.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (2.2.6.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this portfolio

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

### (2.2.6.3) % of portfolio covered by the assessment process in relation to total portfolio value

100

### (2.2.6.4) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

### (2.2.6.5) Industry sectors covered by the assessment

Select all that apply

- Retail
- Apparel
- Services
- Materials
- Hospitality
- Food, beverage & agriculture
- Biotech, health care & pharma
- Fossil Fuels
- Manufacturing
- Infrastructure
- Power generation
- Transportation services

### (2.2.6.6) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Not defined

### (2.2.6.7) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

### (2.2.6.8) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk assessment process

### (2.2.6.9) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Local
- Sub-national
- National

### (2.2.6.10) Tools and methods used

Select all that apply

- Internal tools/methods

### (2.2.6.11) Risk type and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Drought
- Tornado
- Avalanche
- Landslide
- Wildfires
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)
- Heat waves
- Subsidence
- Cold wave/frost
- Pollution incident
- Glacial lake outburst

Chronic physical

- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Heat stress

## Policy

- Carbon pricing mechanisms
- Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- Changes to national legislation
- Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits

## Market

- Changing customer behavior

## Reputation

- Impact on human health
- Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

## Technology

- Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- Transition to lower emissions technology and products

## Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations
- Regulation and supervision of environmental risk in the financial sector

## (2.2.6.12) Partners and stakeholders considered

*Select all that apply*

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indigenous peoples |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers |  |

### (2.2.6.13) Further details of process

*We identify sector-specific or issuer-specific material ESG and environmental issues. These issues could have an impact on the financial performance of the issuers in which we invest. Consideration of these issues is part of our fiduciary duty. The risk and opportunity seeking is consistent with a manager's fiduciary duty to consider all relevant information and material risks in investment analysis and decision making. More complex processes exist for certain asset class where these risk could have a lasting impact. The scope of the analysis involves understanding the ESG risk exposure of the company, aligning closely with the SASB materiality map, and subsequently evaluating the firm's management of these risks. The objective is to assess if these risks could potentially materialize into financial risks in the future. With our carbon intensity reduction target, we aim to manage our climate-related risk management through a reduce exposure (or exposure to best-in-class issuers) in carbon-intensive sectors*

### Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)

#### (2.2.6.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (2.2.6.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this portfolio

*Select all that apply*

Impacts

Risks

#### (2.2.6.3) % of portfolio covered by the assessment process in relation to total portfolio value

100

#### (2.2.6.4) Type of assessment

*Select from:*

Qualitative and quantitative

#### (2.2.6.5) Industry sectors covered by the assessment

*Select all that apply*

- Services

#### (2.2.6.6) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- More than once a year

#### (2.2.6.7) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

#### (2.2.6.8) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk assessment process

#### (2.2.6.9) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Local
- Sub-national
- National

#### (2.2.6.10) Tools and methods used

Select all that apply

- Risk models

#### (2.2.6.11) Risk type and criteria considered

#### Acute physical

- Drought
- Tornado
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons

- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Other acute physical risk, please specify :**Tsunamis**

#### Chronic physical

- Heat stress

#### Market

- Changing customer behavior
- Contraction of insurance markets, leaving clients exposed and changing the risk parameters of the credit
- Loss of clients due to a fund's poor environmental performance outcomes (e.g. if a fund has suffered climate-related write-downs)

#### Reputation

- Impact on human health
- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- Insurance underwriting that could create or contribute to systemic risk for the economy
- Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

#### Technology

- Data access/availability or monitoring systems

#### Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations
- Regulation and supervision of environmental risk in the financial sector

### (2.2.6.12) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Regulators
- Local communities
- Indigenous peoples

### (2.2.6.13) Further details of process

*Life and health insurance: The Corporation has established guidelines pertaining to underwriting and claims adjudication risks that specify the Corporation's retention limits. These retention limits vary according to the type of protection and the characteristics of the insureds and are revised when needed according to the Corporation's capacity to manage and absorb the financial impact associated with an unfavourable experience regarding each risk. Once the retention limits have been reached, the Corporation turns to reinsurance to cover the excess risk. // Property and casualty insurance: Catastrophe models are used to calculate the probable maximum loss ("PMLs") from natural disasters so that the Corporation subscribes enough coverage to be adequately protected from this risk. We also consider the potential impact of natural disasters in terms of capital adequacy. We use modelling for certain types of natural disasters, which is incorporated into probable scenarios and analyzed for impact on capital to ensure capital adequacy in a context of sound risk management. Lastly, we integrate environmental risks into the individual Property and casualty insurance contract underwriting process. For instance, in the case of home and automobile insurance risks, the jurisdiction in which an insured resides is factored into the pricing, as environmental risks differ from one jurisdiction to the next. This information can be found in the history of losses per jurisdiction that we use for setting adequate rates for insureds.*

[Add row]

### (2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

#### (2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

- No

#### (2.2.7.3) Primary reason for not assessing interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

#### **(2.2.7.4) Explain why you do not assess the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities**

*We have not yet assessed the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities, but the assessment will be planned and performed in the coming years to identify these connections.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### **(2.2.8) Does your organization consider environmental information about your clients/investees as part of your due diligence and/or environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessment process?**

##### **Investing (Asset manager)**

#### **(2.2.8.1) We consider environmental information**

*Select from:*

Yes

##### **Investing (Asset owner)**

#### **(2.2.8.1) We consider environmental information**

*Select from:*

Yes

##### **Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)**

#### **(2.2.8.1) We consider environmental information**

*Select from:*

No, but we plan to within the next two years

#### **(2.2.8.2) Explain why you do not consider environmental information**

*The consideration of environmental information from our customers/entities as part of our due diligence and/or our process for assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities is part of our guiding principles as specified in the climate risk management policy which was approved in 2023 (consideration in all decision-making processes). Implementation is underway in 2024 and will continue in 2025. Note that for property and casualty, certain considerations are taken into account.*

*[Fixed row]*

**(2.2.9) Indicate the environmental information your organization considers about clients/investees as part of your due diligence and/or environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessment process, and how this influences decision-making.**

### **Investing (Asset manager)**

#### **(2.2.9.1) Environmental issues covered**

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### **(2.2.9.2) Type of environmental information considered**

*Select all that apply*

Emissions data

Energy usage data

Emissions reduction targets

Climate transition plans

#### **(2.2.9.3) Process through which information is obtained**

*Select all that apply*

Directly from the client/investee

Data provider

Public data sources

#### **(2.2.9.4) Industry sectors covered by due diligence and/or risk assessment process**

*Select all that apply*

- Retail
- Apparel
- Services
- Materials
- Hospitality
- Food, beverage & agriculture
- Biotech, health care & pharma
- Fossil Fuels
- Manufacturing
- Infrastructure
- Power generation
- International bodies

#### **(2.2.9.5) % of portfolio covered by the process in relation to total portfolio value**

100

#### **(2.2.9.6) Total portfolio value covered by the process**

52575000000

### **Investing (Asset owner)**

#### **(2.2.9.1) Environmental issues covered**

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

#### **(2.2.9.2) Type of environmental information considered**

*Select all that apply*

- Emissions data
- Energy usage data
- Emissions reduction targets
- Climate transition plans

#### **(2.2.9.3) Process through which information is obtained**

Select all that apply

- Directly from the client/investee
- Data provider
- Public data sources

#### (2.2.9.4) Industry sectors covered by due diligence and/or risk assessment process

Select all that apply

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retail                        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fossil Fuels         |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Apparel                       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manufacturing        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Services                      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Infrastructure       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materials                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Power generation     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hospitality                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International bodies |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation services       |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food, beverage & agriculture  |  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biotech, health care & pharma |  |

#### (2.2.9.5) % of portfolio covered by the process in relation to total portfolio value

100

#### (2.2.9.6) Total portfolio value covered by the process

57286000000

[Add row]

### (2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

#### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Quantitative

### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Credit risk

### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- % decrease

### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

- 1-10

### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Tolerance level: Annual volatility of the CARLI ratio - 1 in 20 years, or the number of percentage points by which the total Capital Adequacy Requirements for Life Insurers (CARLI) ratio would decrease over a one-year horizon with a 1-in-20 probability, for credit risk. Credit risk is the risk of financial loss resulting from the failure of borrowers or counterparties to repay their debts on time. It depends in particular on the quality of the loans underwritten and the ability of borrowers and counterparties to meet their obligations when due. In the face of climate change, iA Financial Group may be exposed to two main credit risks: (1) transition risk, which arises from a decline in the profitability or value of borrowers in sectors with high carbon emissions or subject to litigation and direct action for inadequate management of their climate-related risks, and (2) physical risk, which manifests itself as a decline in the value of the collateral backing the loans.*

## Opportunities

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative

### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring
- Other, please specify :Product and services, market, resilience, resource efficiency, energy source.

### (2.4.7) Application of definition

p 18-19 of the 2023 TCFD Report: [https://ia.ca/-/media/files/ia/developpement-durable/pdf/82-140a\\_tcf\\_d\\_report\\_2023-acc.pdf](https://ia.ca/-/media/files/ia/developpement-durable/pdf/82-140a_tcf_d_report_2023-acc.pdf)

## Risks

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Quantitative

### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Market risk

### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- % decrease

#### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

- 11-20

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Tolerance level: Annual volatility of the CARLI ratio - 1 in 20 years. Market risk is the risk of financial loss related to unexpected changes in the level or volatility of market prices of assets and liabilities. In the context of climate change, this risk relates primarily to our investment activities. In the case of transition risks, this may include increased volatility or a decrease in the value of portfolio investments in carbon-intensive sectors or the impairment of stranded assets related to underperforming or obsolete technologies. Physical risks include extreme weather events that result in asset impairment or a reduction in the useful life of long-lived assets..*

### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Insurance risk

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

% decrease

#### (2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

11-20

#### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Frequency of effect occurring

Time horizon over which the effect occurs

Likelihood of effect occurring

#### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Tolerance level: Annual volatility of the CARLI ratio - 1 in 20 years. Insurance risk is the risk of financial loss related to unexpected changes in pricing or provisioning assumptions such as severity, frequency, trend, volatility or level of occurrence rates. The main business lines exposed to this risk are life insurance and general insurance. These segments face transition risks, such as the impact of new technologies on their activities or those of their policyholders, including mispricing after new technologies are introduced and inadequate product and service offerings. They also face physical risks, such as the frequency and impact of extreme weather events..*

### Risks

#### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Quantitative

#### (2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

Other, please specify :Liquidity risk

#### (2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute increase

## (2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

1

## (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

1 Liquidity ratio (LCR) for 1 month and 3 months horizons. Liquidity risk is the risk that iA Financial Group will not be able to discharge its investments and other assets in a timely manner in order to meet its financial obligations, including collateral needs, when they mature. In the context of the transition to a low-carbon economy, the opinion of institutional investors, rating agencies and other financial market participants may affect access to capital. This opinion may be influenced by the organization's climate change stance or portfolio composition. As a result, investors may be more or less inclined to hold the Corporation's shares or bonds, which may affect the cost of raising capital and the Corporation's ability to repay debt as it becomes due.

## Risks

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative

### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Strategic risk becomes substantial when, on an organization-wide scale, it is assessed as above moderate (according to our assessment grid). Strategic risk is the risk that internal or external decisions or events will prevent the Corporation from achieving its business plan or initiatives of strategic importance. With respect to transition risks, the Corporation may have to make poor policy decisions regarding “green” technologies or withdrawal from carbon-intensive sectors, be unable to provide insurance in certain geographic regions or to certain types of industries or be poorly positioned with respect to climate risks monitored by external stakeholders. In the case of physical risks, extreme weather events could divert the organization’s resources, impeding the achievement of its business plan or strategic initiatives.*

### Risks

## (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

Qualitative

## (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

Frequency of effect occurring

Time horizon over which the effect occurs

Likelihood of effect occurring

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Operational risk. The risk caused by inadequate or failing people, processes, and internal systems, or by external events impacting operations. Operational risk, including, among others, the risk of information technology malfunction, data compromise and information security breach. Operational risk becomes substantial when, on an organization-wide scale, it is assessed as above moderate (according to our assessment grid). Examples of potential risks. Exposure to litigation initiated on the grounds of inadequate management of climate change risks or insufficient disclosure of material risks (transition risks). Storms or other extreme weather events causing property damage or critical business interruption (physical risks).*

### Risks

## (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative

## (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

## (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Legal and regulatory risk: The risk of being negatively impacted by a change in legislation or regulation, or failing to comply with legislation or regulations already in place where iA Financial Group operates. Legal and regulatory risk becomes substantial when, on an organization-wide scale, it is assessed as above low (according to our assessment grid). Examples of potential risks: Increased regulatory disclosure requirements and compliance costs or strengthening capital and liquidity requirements.*

## Risks

### (2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative

### (2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

### (2.4.7) Application of definition

*Reputational risk is defined as a risk which affects several categories of financial or non-financial risk, or which is the cause or result of one or more other risks. It can amplify the probability of occurrence or the impact of the various existing risk categories in the Corporation's risk taxonomy. In the context of climate change, the impact on iA Financial Group could take the form of transition risks, such as exposure to carbon-intensive sectors and companies in its investment activities, or*

*heightened investor expectations in the fight against climate change. With respect to physical risks, the Corporation may face insurance coverage that is perceived as unaffordable or premiums that increase due to extreme weather events, which could damage the Corporation's reputation. Related to transition risk, it could be investments in carbon-intensive sectors and companies.*

*[Add row]*

### C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

**(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

#### Climate change

##### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

##### (3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Evaluation in progress

##### (3.1.3) Please explain

*At this stage, we do not consider that climate change could have a substantial effect on our overall organization, or are likely to have a substantial effect on our overall organization in the future. This is mainly due to the nature of our business, as an insurer. However, we do not exclude the possibility of reviewing our positioning at a later date.*

#### Plastics

##### (3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

### **(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain**

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(3.1.3) Please explain**

*At this stage, we do not consider that plastic-related risks could have a substantial effect on our organization during the reporting year, or are likely to have a substantial effect on our organization in the future. This is mainly due to the nature of our business, as an insurer. However, we do not exclude the possibility of reviewing our positioning at a later date.*

*[Fixed row]*

### **(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?**

#### **Climate change**

### **(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified**

Select from:

- No

### **(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities**

Select from:

- Evaluation in progress

### **(3.6.3) Please explain**

*We have identified opportunities (products and services, market, resilience, resource efficiency and energy source) but we do not yet know if they are substantial. We need to analyze them.*

*[Fixed row]*

## C4. Governance

### (4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

#### (4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

More frequently than quarterly

#### (4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

#### (4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

Yes, and it is publicly available

#### (4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

*At iA Financial Group, we believe the Board of Directors must be independent from the company. For this reason, we have adopted a Board Independence Policy, which defines the criteria for assessing the independence of directors in accordance with the applicable regulations in force. Our policy establishes the proportion of independent directors required within the Board and its committees, as well as the specific independence criteria required to sit on certain committees. It also states the measures that have been put in place to monitor and ensure the independence of the Board and its directors. In effect, all directors are independent of the company, except for Denis Ricard, who has a direct material relationship with the company as its President and Chief Executive Officer. We consider a director to be independent if that person has no direct or indirect material relationship with iA Financial Group. A relationship is regarded as material when such relationship could*

reasonably be expected by the Board to interfere with the exercise of a director's independent judgment. Board Diversity Policy: In order to encourage diversity on its board, iA Financial Group has adopted the Board Diversity Policy. According to this policy, when seeking and selecting candidates for board membership, the Risk Management, Governance and Ethics Committee and the board recruit the best possible candidates, while aiming to improve diversity in accordance with established objectives.

#### **(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)**

*Board Diversity Statement iAFG SRM143A-207-1.pdf, Board Independence Statement SRM143A-207-2.pdf*  
[Fixed row]

### **(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?**

#### **Climate change**

##### **(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

Yes

#### **Biodiversity**

##### **(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

##### **(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

##### **(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue**

*iA Financial Group worked with an external firm to conduct its first materiality assessment in 2023. The exercise involved identifying stakeholder expectations regarding the Corporation's performance on specific ESG topics in order to strengthen our ESG strategy by leveraging related opportunities. The five strategic*

priorities identified in the materiality assessment were: talent attraction, development and retention; risk governance; business risk management; decarbonization strategy; and diversity, equity and inclusion. Although we are planning to analyze biodiversity in the future, we are not currently focusing on it since it came out very low in the grid for all our stakeholders, most likely due to the sector we operate in.

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.**

##### **Climate change**

#### **(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

Board-level committee

#### **(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue**

Select all that apply

Board mandate

Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :iA Financial Group Sustainability Policy / iA Financial Group Climate Change Position Statement / iAGAM Sustainable Investment Policy / Climate Risk Management Corporate Policy

#### **(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item**

Select from:

Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

#### **(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated**

Select all that apply

- Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

#### (4.1.2.6) Scope of board-level oversight

Select all that apply

- Risks and opportunities to our own operations
- Risks and opportunities to our investment activities
- The impact of our own operations on the environment
- The impact of our investing activities on the environment

#### (4.1.2.7) Please explain

*Our governance structure is supported by the Board of Directors and the Risk, Governance and Ethics Committee (“RGEC”) (whose members sit on the Board of Directors). Also, as of 2024, our governance structure is therefore supported by the Sustainability and the Risk Management Executive Committees (whose members are drawn from senior management). The Risk, Governance and Ethics Committee of the Board (RGEC) is responsible for oversight of sustainable development, including climate change factors, and is supported by senior management, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Investment Officer, and Chief Financial Officer. In 2023, in addition to the Sustainability Policy, which underwent a thorough revision, a new Climate Risk Management Corporate Policy was adopted by the Board of Directors of iA Financial Group, on the recommendation of the RGEC. This policy is part of iA Financial Group’s sustainability reference framework, which notably includes ESG factors, since sound risk management is an integral part of corporate governance. The policy takes into account the size, nature and complexity of the Corporation’s activities, as well as the specific nature of climate risks, the impacts of which can be felt over short-, medium- and long-term horizons. Sustainability Executive Committee is responsible for overseeing the development of the process for identifying climate change opportunities, its implementation and, ultimately, established performance indicators. It will also be responsible for reporting to the RGEC on the progress of the work and the indicators (when established) at a set frequency.*

[Fixed row]

## **(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

Yes

#### **(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board**

*Select all that apply*

Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

*[Fixed row]*

## **(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?**

### **Climate change**

#### **(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

Yes

### **Biodiversity**

#### **(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue**

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

#### **(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues**

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

### (4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues

*iA Financial Group worked with an external firm to conduct its first materiality assessment in 2023. The exercise involved identifying stakeholder expectations regarding the Corporation's performance on specific ESG topics in order to strengthen our ESG strategy by leveraging related opportunities. The five strategic priorities identified in the materiality assessment were: talent attraction, development and retention; risk governance; business risk management; decarbonization strategy; and diversity, equity and inclusion. Although we are planning to analyze biodiversity in the future, we are not currently focusing on it since it came out very low in the grid for all our stakeholders, most likely due to the sector we operate in.*

[Fixed row]

### (4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

#### Climate change

#### (4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- Sustainability committee

#### (4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

#### Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

### (4.3.1.3) Coverage of responsibilities

Select all that apply

- Dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities related to our insurance underwriting activities
- Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities related to our own operations and/or upstream value chain

### (4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Reporting periodically to the Risk Governance and Ethics Committee on the status of various sustainability initiatives.

### (4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Quarterly

### (4.3.1.6) Please explain

*iA Financial Group has established an internal structure to ensure the integration of climate-related risks and opportunities into our strategy, decision-making and business processes, and to ensure accountability for our response to climate change. The RGEC is supported in its sustainability oversight responsibility, which also includes climate change impacts, by executive officers, including our President and CEO, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Investment Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice-President, Investor Relations, Capital Management, Sustainability and Public Affairs. The Sustainability Executive Committee is the central forum for overseeing the integration of sustainability within the group. This Committee, which meets every quarter, comprises members of senior management and reports to the RGEC on a quarterly basis as well. The Sustainability Executive Committee is responsible for overseeing the development of the process for identifying climate change opportunities, its implementation and, ultimately, established performance indicators. It will also be responsible for reporting to the RGEC on the progress of*

*the work and the indicators (when established) at a set frequency. The Investor Relations and Sustainability Department, led by the Senior Vice-President, Investor Relations, Capital Management, Sustainability and Public Affairs and supported by the Director, Sustainability (the “Department”), is responsible for sustainability, of which one of its primary priorities is climate change. In collaboration with the Risk Management and Compliance Group (“RMCG”), and iAGAM’s sustainable investment team, the Department’s work includes identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities, as well as improving climate change disclosure, in line with the recommendations of the TCFD.*

[Add row]

**(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?**

**Climate change**

**(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue**

Select from:

No, but we plan to introduce them in the next two years

**(4.5.3) Please explain**

*In 2024, we began integrating the requirements set out in the Climate Risk Management Guideline (the “Guideline”) issued by Quebec’s Autorité des marchés financiers (“AMF”), which came into effect on July 4, 2024. In accordance with the Guideline, iA Financial Group will see to the review of its compensation programs for executives and other key positions in order to integrate climate risk management into its total compensation strategy.*

[Fixed row]

**(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?**

	<p><b>Does your organization have any environmental policies?</b></p>
	<p>Select from:</p>

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

#### (4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

##### Row 1

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

Direct operations

Upstream value chain

Downstream value chain

Portfolio

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our Sustainability policy applies to all iA Financial Group activities. Note that our social commitments are not integrated in our Sustainability Policy but rather in separate public documents (Human Rights Statement; Anti-Discrimination Statement; Aboriginal Relations and Inclusion Policy). We also have targets related to genders.

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Social commitments

- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

*iA Financial Group Sustainability Policy Nov 2023.pdf*

### Row 2

#### (4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Biodiversity

#### (4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Selected facilities, businesses or geographies only

#### (4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Portfolio

#### (4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

*The Sustainable Investment Policy is adopted by Industrial Alliance Investment Management Inc., our portfolio management entity, subsidiary of the group*

#### (4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

#### (4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, and we do not plan to align in the next two years

#### (4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

#### (4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

*iAIM Sustainable Investment Policy Nov 2022.pdf*

[Add row]

**(4.7) Does the policy framework for the portfolio activities of your organization include environmental requirements that clients/investees need to meet, and/or exclusion policies?**

**Investing (Asset manager)**

**(4.7.1) Policy framework for portfolio activities include environmental requirements for clients/investees, and/or exclusion policies**

Select from:

- Yes, our policies include environmental requirements that clients/investees need to meet

**(4.7.2) Primary reason for not including both policies with environmental client/investee requirements and environmental exclusion policies in your policy framework for portfolio activities**

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Our approach is one of engagement rather than exclusion.

**(4.7.3) Explain why the policy framework for your portfolio activities does not include both policies with environmental client/investee requirements and environmental exclusion policies**

*To combine our financial success with a sustainable future, we believe it is important to work with issuers across all sectors. We therefore promote an inclusive approach based on constructive dialogues with issuers. However, iAGAM supports the Anti-Personnel Landmines Convention and the Convention on Cluster Munitions. As such, we will not knowingly invest in companies involved in the production, use or distribution of anti-personnel land mines or cluster munitions. These exclusions do not apply to investments in derivatives or other investments where the exposure is outside of our control.*

**Investing (Asset owner)**

**(4.7.1) Policy framework for portfolio activities include environmental requirements for clients/investees, and/or exclusion policies**

Select from:

- Yes, our policies include environmental requirements that clients/investees need to meet

#### **(4.7.2) Primary reason for not including both policies with environmental client/investee requirements and environmental exclusion policies in your policy framework for portfolio activities**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Our approach is one of engagement rather than exclusion.

#### **(4.7.3) Explain why the policy framework for your portfolio activities does not include both policies with environmental client/investee requirements and environmental exclusion policies**

*To combine our financial success with a sustainable future, we believe it is important to work with issuers across all sectors. We therefore promote an inclusive approach based on constructive dialogues with issuers. However, iAGAM supports the Anti-Personnel Landmines Convention and the Convention on Cluster Munitions. As such, we will not knowingly invest in companies involved in the production, use or distribution of anti-personnel land mines or cluster munitions. These exclusions do not apply to investments in derivatives or other investments where the exposure is outside of our control.*

### **Insurance (Insurance company)**

#### **(4.7.1) Policy framework for portfolio activities include environmental requirements for clients/investees, and/or exclusion policies**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to include environmental requirements and/or exclusion policies in our policy framework in the next two years

#### **(4.7.2) Primary reason for not including both policies with environmental client/investee requirements and environmental exclusion policies in your policy framework for portfolio activities**

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

#### **(4.7.3) Explain why the policy framework for your portfolio activities does not include both policies with environmental client/investee requirements and environmental exclusion policies**

*Due to a lack of internal resources, we have not yet prioritized this element, but we are working to explore and prioritize it in the future.*

[Fixed row]

## (4.7.1) Provide details of the policies which include environmental requirements that clients/investees need to meet.

### Investing (Asset manager)

#### (4.7.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Biodiversity

#### (4.7.1.2) Type of policy

Select all that apply

- Sustainable/Responsible Investment Policy

#### (4.7.1.3) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

#### (4.7.1.4) Attach the policy

*iAIM Sustainable Investment Policy Nov 2022.pdf*

#### (4.7.1.5) Value chain stages of client/investee covered by policy

Select from:

- Direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

#### (4.7.1.6) Industry sectors covered by the policy

Select all that apply

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retail  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fossil Fuels  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Apparel | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Manufacturing |

- Services
- Materials
- Hospitality
- Transportation services
- Food, beverage & agriculture
- Biotech, health care & pharma

- Infrastructure
- Power generation
- International bodies

#### (4.7.1.9) % of portfolio covered by the policy in relation to total portfolio value

100

#### (4.7.1.11) Explain how criteria coverage and/or exceptions have been determined

*Through its belief, supported by research and evidence, that responsible investment supports both value creation and risk management; and its duty to be an Active Owner who considers stakeholder interests and investment impacts, iAGAM seeks to embed responsible investment practices throughout its investment management activities. iAGAM believes this commitment reflects its duty and is in the best interests of its Clients, shareholders, employees and other stakeholders. iAGAM recognizes that environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) factors could materially impact investment risk, return and reputation. The purpose of this Sustainable Investment Policy (“Policy”) is to outline iAGAM’s beliefs, commitments, and approach to responsible investment. This Policy provides a framework and guidance to incorporate ESG considerations in investment management and stewardship activities in a consistent and comprehensive manner. iAGAM acknowledges that RI practices are constantly evolving. As a result, iAGAM expects its approach to responsible investment will evolve over time as research, evidence and industry practice evolve.*

#### (4.7.1.12) Requirements for clients/investees

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Additional references/Descriptions

- Other additional reference/description, please specify :Engagement with issuers and proxy voting.

#### (4.7.1.13) Measurement of proportion of clients/investees compliant with the policy

Select from:

- Yes

#### (4.7.1.14) % of clients/investees compliant with the policy

100

#### (4.7.1.15) % of portfolio value that is compliant with the policy

100

#### (4.7.1.16) Target year for 100% compliance

Select from:

Already met

### Investing (Asset owner)

#### (4.7.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

Biodiversity

#### (4.7.1.2) Type of policy

Select all that apply

Investment policy/strategy

Other investing policy, please specify :Proxy voting policy

#### (4.7.1.3) Public availability

Select from:

Publicly available

#### (4.7.1.4) Attach the policy

iAIM Sustainable Investment Policy Nov 2022.pdf

#### (4.7.1.5) Value chain stages of client/investee covered by policy

Select from:

- Direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

#### (4.7.1.6) Industry sectors covered by the policy

Select all that apply

- Retail
- Apparel
- Services
- Materials
- Hospitality
- Transportation services
- Food, beverage & agriculture
- Biotech, health care & pharma
- Fossil Fuels
- Manufacturing
- Infrastructure
- Power generation
- International bodies

#### (4.7.1.9) % of portfolio covered by the policy in relation to total portfolio value

100

#### (4.7.1.11) Explain how criteria coverage and/or exceptions have been determined

*Through its belief, supported by research and evidence, that responsible investment supports both value creation and risk management; and its duty to be an Active Owner who considers stakeholder interests and investment impacts, iAGAM seeks to embed responsible investment practices throughout its investment management activities. iAGAM believes this commitment reflects its duty and is in the best interests of its Clients, shareholders, employees and other stakeholders. iAGAM recognizes that environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) factors could materially impact investment risk, return and reputation. The purpose of this Sustainable Investment Policy (“Policy”) is to outline iAGAM's beliefs, commitments, and approach to responsible investment. This Policy provides a framework and guidance to incorporate ESG considerations in investment management and stewardship activities in a consistent and comprehensive manner. iAGAM acknowledges that RI practices are constantly evolving. As a result, iAGAM expects its approach to responsible investment will evolve over time as research, evidence and industry practice evolve.*

#### (4.7.1.12) Requirements for clients/investees

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

**(4.7.1.13) Measurement of proportion of clients/investees compliant with the policy**

Select from:

- Yes

**(4.7.1.14) % of clients/investees compliant with the policy**

100

**(4.7.1.15) % of portfolio value that is compliant with the policy**

100

**(4.7.1.16) Target year for 100% compliance**

Select from:

- Already met

[Add row]

**(4.9) Does your organization offer its employees a pension scheme that incorporates environmental criteria in its holdings?**

**Climate change**

**(4.9.1) Pension scheme incorporates environmental criteria in its holdings**

Select from:

- Yes, as the default investment strategy for all plans

#### **(4.9.2) Describe how funds within the pension scheme are selected and how your organization ensures that environmental criteria are incorporated**

*ESG criteria are incorporate as a default investment option for iA Financial Group's employees in their DB pension plan. This plan relies on a Retirement Committee and its Investment Committee to actively monitor the underlying asset managers which are supported by internal oversight experts. Every asset manager involved in the iA Financial Group's Pension plan is a PRI signatory who has committed to ESG integration into their investment strategies. The oversight experts supporting these committees also rely on a proprietary model to assess managers' expertise and dedication to sustainable investing which includes various topics such as the overall firm dedication and resources to sustainable investment, ESG integration into their investment strategies, stewardship, etc. This proprietary evaluation is part of the manager overall evaluation in the monitoring process or when a new manager is appointed.*

*[Fixed row]*

#### **(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

##### **(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?**

Select from:

Yes

##### **(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative**

Select all that apply

- CDP Investor Signatory
- Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (PCAF)
- Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- Other, please specify :Climate Engagement Canada (CEC) Quebec Financial Centre

##### **(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative**

*CDP iA Financial Group has disclosed through CDP since 2007. In 2024, we continued enhancing climate-related disclosures aligned with SASB standards and submitted our annual CDP Climate Change Questionnaire. TCFD / ISSB We support the TCFD and its successor, the ISSB. Our 2024 Climate Change Performance Report integrates TCFD recommendations and AMF's Climate Risk Management Guideline. It outlines our climate strategy, risk framework, and progress toward our decarbonization targets. PRI & Quebec Financial Centre Through iAGAM, we remain a PRI signatory since 2019, reinforcing our ESG integration. We also continue to support the Statement by the Quebec Financial Centre for a Sustainable Finance since 2021. PCAF & GHG Targets In 2024, iA Financial Group continued*

implementing its climate strategy. For our public corporate bond portfolio, we applied the PCAF methodology to measure financed emissions. A target of 40% reduction in carbon intensity by 2035 is underway, with a 24% reduction achieved as of 2024. For our Canadian real estate holdings, we are pursuing a 60% reduction in GHG emission intensity by 2035, based on the CRREM framework, which aligns with limiting global warming to 1.5°C or 2°C. As of 2024, we've achieved a 9.23% reduction, covering 34 buildings in Canada. Two U.S. buildings are pending inclusion due to data limitations. Climate Engagement Canada (CEC) iAGAM actively engages with CEC Focus List companies. In 2024, we contributed to collaborative investor dialogues and shared expertise to support transition planning and climate governance improvement

[Fixed row]

#### **(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?**

##### **(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment**

Select all that apply

Not assessed

##### **(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals**

Select from:

Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

##### **(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement**

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

##### **(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement**

*iA Financial Group Climate Change Position.pdf*

##### **(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register**

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

Mandatory government register

#### (4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

*Carrefour Lobby Québec*

#### (4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

*No process in place since we do not currently have external engagement activities linked to our environmental commitments and transition plan  
[Fixed row]*

#### (4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

Yes

#### (4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

#### (4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy              | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts                             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public policy engagement                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content of environmental policies                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify : <b>Sustainable finance</b> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities |  |

### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

*Sustainable finance p19 Climate strategy p22 Climate change governance p13 Carbon footprint p24 Risk management p64 SASB framework p71 GHG emissions calculation method p76*

### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

*iAFG 2024 Sustainability Report.pdf*

### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*no comment*

## Row 2

### (4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In other regulatory filings

### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change

### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Strategy
- Governance
- Emission targets
- Emissions figures
- Risks & Opportunities
- Content of environmental policies
- Other, please specify :**Work completed and next steps**

### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

All pages

### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024 iAFG Climate Change Performance Report.pdf

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*no comment*

### Row 3

#### (4.12.1.1) Publication

*Select from:*

In voluntary communications

#### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

*Select from:*

Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

*Select all that apply*

Governance

Risks & Opportunities

Strategy

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

*All pages*

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

*iA Financial Group Climate Change Position.pdf*

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*no comment*

#### Row 4

#### (4.12.1.1) Publication

*Select from:*

In other regulatory filings

#### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

*Select from:*

Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

*Select all that apply*

Content of environmental policies

Governance

Strategy

Emission targets

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

*Sustainability section, p. 60 to 67*

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

#### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*no comment*

#### Row 5

#### (4.12.1.1) Publication

*Select from:*

In other regulatory filings

#### (4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

*Select all that apply*

Climate change

#### (4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

*Select from:*

Complete

#### (4.12.1.5) Content elements

*Select all that apply*

Governance

Risks & Opportunities

Strategy

#### (4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

*Sustainability section, p. 6 and 7*

#### (4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

### (4.12.1.8) Comment

*no comment*

*[Add row]*

## C5. Business strategy

### (5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

#### Climate change

##### (5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

- No, but we plan to within the next two years

##### (5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

- Other, please specify :We're working on integrating climate risks into strategy and budgeting, improving scenario analysis, and participating in the AMF-OSFI SCSE Climate Scenario Exercise to assess financial impacts, validate risk assessments,adapt our corporate strategy.

##### (5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

*We are committed to improving our understanding of the impacts of climate change on our corporate strategy. To this end, we intend to further integrate climate-related risks and opportunities into our strategic planning and budgeting processes. Over time, this will involve quantifying the potential financial impacts of these issues on our business lines and consolidated company performance. We will continue to monitor the evolving landscape for insurers in terms of industry-focused data and methodologies to quantify financial impacts of climate change and conduct robust scenario analysis. In 2023, we included climate-related scenario analysis in our internal reporting system. We intend to undertake initial scenario analysis to help inform our overall strategic response to addressing climate-related risks and opportunities over the short, medium and long term. In the coming years, we aim to deepen our understanding of climate risks so that we can better anticipate their impact and capitalize on the opportunities they present. To achieve this, we will continue our efforts to: — Obtain reliable data to implement meaningful and robust policies. — Improve the accuracy of our risk assessments by conducting scenario analyses or crisis simulations related to climate risks. This will allow us to validate risk assessments, identify vulnerabilities and ensure that sufficient liquidity and capital are maintained, where appropriate. In 2024, we participated in the first phase of the AMF-OSFI Standardized Climate Scenario Exercise to enable the Corporation to better assess and understand its potential exposure to climate risks. In the coming years, we will continue to improve the risk assessments through the adaptation of stress tests (sensitivity or scenario analyses) related to climate risks (this will help to validate risk assessments, identify vulnerabilities and ensure that sufficient liquidity and capital is maintained, if necessary, while adapting the corporate strategy accordingly).*

[Fixed row]

## (5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

### (5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

### (5.2.15) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

Other, please specify :In 2024, iA Financial Group has taken the first steps towards a transition plan and continues to develop it based on recognized reference frameworks.

### (5.2.16) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

*In 2023, we kicked off the year by conducting our first materiality assessment. We met with our various stakeholders to get their view on which ESG factors will have most impact on iA in the coming years, and which we should prioritize in the years ahead. This insightful, relevant exercise has informed our strategic plan, and our sustainability priorities. On the environmental front, we have updated our climate strategy and announced two new, more precise targets for reducing our greenhouse gas emissions. By 2035, in order to act: — As a responsible corporate citizen, we aim to reduce the GHG emission intensity of our Canadian real estate holdings by 60%. (This GHG reduction target was established in accordance with the Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor international standard. This standard enables companies in the real estate sector to develop their ESG strategy by assessing their GHG emissions in order to limit global warming to 1.5°C or even 2°C.) — As a responsible investor, we aim to reduce the carbon intensity of our public corporate bond portfolio by 40%. Our climate strategy also includes a climate risk roadmap, as well as a policy and other tools to help us successfully implement it. We are committed to decarbonizing our own investments and ensuring that they are aligned with the objectives of the Paris Agreement. In addition to more traditional financial and risk considerations, we are putting mechanisms in place to assess and take into account the physical and transition risks associated with climate change in our investment decision making process. We have been disclosing our responses to the CDP since 2007 and working to integrate the TCFD since 2021. Read more on our main achievements in 2023 page 21 and 27 of our 2023 Sustainability Report.*  
[Fixed row]

## (5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

### (5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

### (5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

### (5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

*We do not currently price environmental externalities because we have not conducted an assessment of the relevance of implementing an internal carbon price tool. As we continue our transition journey, we may revise this decision at a later stage, but for now, we have not determined the necessity or value of implementing such a pricing mechanism.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

### Clients

#### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

### Investees

#### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

### Suppliers

#### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

### (5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

*iA Financial Group worked with an external firm to conduct its first materiality assessment in 2023. We hold interviews with senior management, investors and suppliers, as well as workshops with operational teams from different business units. We plan to repeat this exercise at least every five (5) years in order to maintain a constructive and open dialogue with our stakeholders. A Sustainable Procurement Committee was set up in 2024 to promote ethical, sustainable and responsible procurement practices within the organization, while acting as a working group to develop and implement these practices.*

## Investors and shareholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change

## Other value chain stakeholders

### (5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

### (5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

Climate change

[Fixed row]

### (5.11.3) Provide details of your environmental engagement strategy with your clients.

#### Row 1

##### (5.11.3.1) Type of clients

Select from:

Clients of Asset Managers

##### (5.11.3.2) Environmental issues covered by the engagement strategy

Select all that apply

Climate change

##### (5.11.3.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

Other information collection activity, please specify :iA Financial Group conducted its first materiality assessment in 2023, to identify stakeholder expectations regarding the Corporation's performance on specific ESG topics in order to strengthen our ESG strategy by leveraging related opportunities.

##### (5.11.3.4) % of client-associated scope 3 emissions as reported in question 12.1.1

Select from:

Unknown

##### (5.11.3.5) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

Select from:

Unknown

### (5.11.3.6) Explain the rationale for the coverage of your engagement

*We consulted a representative range of stakeholders: employees, senior management, directors, investors, suppliers and clients.*

### (5.11.3.7) Describe how you communicate your engagement strategy to your clients and/or to the public

*In 2023, we carried out, for the first time, a materiality assessment with our stakeholders. As part of this exercise, we consulted a representative range of stakeholders: employees, senior management, directors, investors, suppliers and clients. This assessment enabled us to strengthen our strategy by leveraging related opportunities linked to 21 prioritized ESG topics. For further details, see the “Stakeholder engagement and materiality assessment” section in the appendices of our 2023 Sustainability Report.*

### (5.11.3.8) Attach your engagement strategy

*iA Sustainable Investment Policy.pdf*

### (5.11.3.9) Staff in your organization carrying out the engagement

*Select all that apply*

- Specialized in-house engagement teams
- Other, please specify :External consulting firm

### (5.11.3.10) Roles of individuals at the portfolio organizations you seek to engage with

*Select all that apply*

- Board members
- CEO
- Investor relations managers

### (5.11.3.11) Effect of engagement, including measures of success

*iAGAM’s Stewardship builds on three important pillars, which we believe provide a leading approach in stewardship: a focus on real-world outcomes, prioritization of systemic sustainability issues and collaboration. We elect to participate in specific engagement efforts according to our ownership within an investee company and our stewardship priorities. Topics of engagement are chosen in accordance with portfolio managers’ assessment of ESG risk and opportunities for an investee company. For actively managed internal mandates, the long-term results of the engagement activities might impact weight of said investee company within a portfolio. iAGAM’s portfolio managers and analysts engage more specifically with companies on ESG-related issues when such elements have been identified as potentially material to an investment. In general, the goal of the Engagement program is to communicate its views as an investor. Engagement allows iAGAM to better*

understand its investee entities, their governance structures and their approach to ESG issues, which generally allows iAGAM to make better informed investment decisions.

### (5.11.3.12) Escalation process for engagement when dialogue is failing

Select from:

Yes, we have an escalation process

### (5.11.3.13) Describe your escalation process

*We believe that engagement is a medium to long term process and we need to work with the companies. The outcomes of our engagement could ultimately influence divestment decisions. Where engagement is not proving to be successful, the matter will be escalated, potentially in conjunction with other investors. Should these escalation strategies still not be successful, then the ultimate sanction is divestment and exclusion. Since our engagement activities are quite recent there has been no opportunity for escalation. We focus on mobilizing shareholders to mitigate the risks associated with our investments, optimize our returns and generate positive results for communities.*

[Add row]

## (5.11.4) Provide details of your environmental engagement strategy with your investees.

### Row 1

#### (5.11.4.1) Environmental issues covered by the engagement strategy

Select all that apply

Climate change

#### (5.11.4.2) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

Other information collection activity, please specify :iA Financial Group conducted its first materiality assessment in 2023, to identify stakeholder expectations regarding the Corporation's performance on specific ESG topics in order to strengthen our ESG strategy by leveraging related opportunities.

#### (5.11.4.3) % of scope 3 investees associated emissions as reported in 12.1.1/12.1.3

Select from:

Unknown

#### (5.11.4.4) % of investing (Asset managers) portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

Select from:

1-25%

#### (5.11.4.5) % of investing (Asset owners) portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

Select from:

1-25%

#### (5.11.4.6) Explain the rationale for the coverage of your engagement

*We have held meetings and discussions with our main asset managers and asset owners as part of our materiality analysis (in 2023).*

#### (5.11.4.7) Describe how you communicate your engagement strategy to your investees and/or to the public

*In 2023, we carried out, for the first time, a materiality assessment with our stakeholders. As part of this exercise, we consulted a representative range of stakeholders: employees, senior management, directors, investors, suppliers and clients. This assessment enabled us to strengthen our strategy by leveraging related opportunities linked to 21 prioritized ESG topics. We plan to repeat this exercise at least every five years, in order to maintain a constructive and open dialogue with our stakeholders, including our shareholders. with our stakeholders, including our shareholders, in addition to our recurring our regular meetings with them throughout the year. For further details, see the “Stakeholder engagement and materiality assessment” section in the appendices of our 2023 Sustainability Report.*

#### (5.11.4.8) Attach your engagement strategy

*IAG 2023 Sustainability Report.pdf*

#### (5.11.4.9) Staff in your organization carrying out the engagement

Select all that apply

Specialized in-house engagement teams

Senior-level roles

Other, please specify :Leader, Sustainability External Advisors

#### (5.11.4.10) Roles of individuals at the portfolio organizations you seek to engage with

Select all that apply

- Investor relations managers

#### (5.11.4.11) Effect of engagement, including measures of success

The aim of this exercise was to understand what is important to our investors in terms of ESG issues, including climate change, and where iA has an impact.

#### (5.11.4.12) Escalation process for engagement when dialogue is failing

Select from:

- No, we don't have an escalation process

[Add row]

### (5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

#### Climate change

#### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Board members (Directors)

#### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements
- Other education/information sharing, please specify :In 2024, we launched voluntary employee training and awareness activities to integrate climate issues into operations, promote sustainable mobility and food practices, and support Reporting Subsidiaries in identifying climate-related opportunities.

#### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

Unknown

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

*In 2023, we carried out, for the first time, a materiality assessment with our stakeholders. As part of this exercise, we consulted a representative range of stakeholders: employees, senior management, directors, investors, suppliers and clients. This assessment enabled us to strengthen our strategy by leveraging related opportunities linked to 21 prioritized ESG topics. We plan to repeat this exercise at least every five years, in order to maintain a constructive and open dialogue with our stakeholders, including our shareholders, in addition to our recurring our regular meetings with them throughout the year. For further details, see the “Stakeholder engagement and materiality assessment” section in the appendices of our 2023 Sustainability Report. In 2024, training and awareness-raising activities, in which employees could participate on a voluntary basis, were developed to more effectively integrate climate change into the Corporation’s operations and make a positive impact on the environment. More specifically, the use of sustainable mobility and good food practices to reduce GHG emission were encouraged, thereby supporting healthy lifestyle habits. The Corporation is developing training programs to raise employee awareness of climate issues and sound risk management practices. The Corporation expects to begin by providing training and/or awareness-raising activities to its Reporting Subsidiaries to bring attention to climate-related issues and the opportunities these may represent. The aim is to equip them to integrate climate considerations into their business analyses and strategies and identify opportunities.*

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

*Measures et results will be disclosed in 2025.*

## Climate change

### (5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :Employees

### (5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

Other education/information sharing, please specify :In 2024, mandatory training linked course themes to sustainability. A voluntary workshop helps teams understand sustainability, raise awareness, and see how iA integrates it—like reducing digital footprint through good document practices.

### (5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

Unknown

### (5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Raising employee awareness of sustainability concepts is one of our priority ongoing projects.

### (5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Progress is not measured yet but the popularity for some of our awareness-raising activities is encouraging.

[Add row]

## (5.14) Do your external asset managers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's selection process and engagement?

	External asset managers have to meet specific environmental requirements as part of the selection process and engagement	Policy in place for addressing external asset manager non-compliance
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

[Fixed row]

## (5.14.1) Provide details of the environmental requirements that external asset managers have to meet as part of your organization's selection process and engagement.

### Row 1

#### (5.14.1.1) Environmental issues covered by the requirement

Select all that apply

- Climate change

#### (5.14.1.2) Coverage

Select from:

- All assets managed externally

#### (5.14.1.3) Environmental requirement that external asset managers have to meet

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Signatory of the UN PRI

#### (5.14.1.4) Mechanisms used to include environmental requirement in external asset manager selection

Select all that apply

- Include environmental requirements in requests for proposals
- Review investment manager's environmental performance (e.g., active ownership, proxy voting records, under-weighting in high impact activities)
- Review investment manager's environmental policies

#### (5.14.1.5) Response to external asset manager non-compliance with environmental requirement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Each situation will be analyzed on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration various relevant factors

#### (5.14.1.6) % of non-compliant external asset managers engaged

Select from:

- Less than 1%

[Add row]

#### (5.15) Does your organization exercise voting rights as a shareholder on environmental issues?

	Exercise voting rights as a shareholder on environmental issues
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(5.15.1) Provide details of your shareholder voting record on environmental issues.**

**Row 1**

**(5.15.1.1) Method used to exercise your voting rights as a shareholder**

Select from:

Exercise voting rights directly

**(5.15.1.3) % of voting rights exercised**

99

**(5.15.1.4) % of voting which is publicly available**

0

**(5.15.1.5) Environmental issues covered in shareholder voting**

Select all that apply

Climate change

**(5.15.1.6) Global environmental commitments that your shareholder voting is aligned with**

Select all that apply

Aligned with the Paris Agreement

Aligned with another global environmental commitment, please specify :UNEP FI, UNPRI, GRI, UNGC, Carbon Principles, ILO, Ceres Roadmap 2030, Global Sullivan Principles, MacBride Principles, environmental and social European Union Directives.

<https://www.issgovernance.com/file/policy/active/specialty/Sustainability-Int>

### **(5.15.1.7) Issues supported in shareholder resolutions**

*Select all that apply*

Climate transition plans

Environmental disclosures

Net zero emissions by 2050

Emissions reduction targets

Phase out of fossil fuel financing

*[Add row]*

Board oversight of environmental issues

Aligning public policy position (lobbying)

## C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

### Climate change

#### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Financial control

#### (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*iA Financial Group uses the financial control consolidation approach. As a property owner, iA Financial Group assumes the inherent risks and rewards associated with its operations, which means that the Corporation is responsible for the decarbonization of its assets. As a result, iA Financial Group uses the financial control consolidation approach to prioritize the reduction of GHG emissions from 34 of the buildings it owns in Canada. This approach is the most appropriate way to aggregate all sources of emissions from iA Financial Group's real estate portfolio and align with the assets targeted by the decarbonization plan.*

### Plastics

#### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Other, please specify :not applicable

#### (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*not applicable*

### Biodiversity

#### (6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Other, please specify :not applicable

## (6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*not applicable*

*[Fixed row]*

## C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

### (7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

### (7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?	Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with	Details of structural change(s), including completion dates
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, an acquisition <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, a divestment	<i>Industrial Alliance, Insurance and Financial Services Inc.</i>	<i>Acquisitions and divestments of real estate assets, past results and baseline year have been adjusted to reflect changes.</i>

[Fixed row]

### (7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

#### (7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?

Select all that apply

Yes, a change in methodology

#### (7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)

Past results have been adjusted to reflect changes in methodology, acquisitions and divestitures of real estate assets, updated emission factors, and corrections of errors.

[Fixed row]

### **(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?**

#### **(7.1.3.1) Base year recalculation**

Select from:

Yes

#### **(7.1.3.2) Scope(s) recalculated**

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2, location-based

Scope 3

#### **(7.1.3.3) Base year emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold**

*iA Financial Group currently has no formal policy for base year recalculation but aligns with the Science-Based Targets initiative by applying a 5% significance threshold. In accordance with the GHG Protocol, a policy may be developed to formalize this threshold, especially in cases of major organizational or methodological changes. Past results have already been adjusted to reflect such changes, including updates in methodology, real estate acquisitions/divestments, revised emission factors, and error corrections—demonstrating alignment with best practices and industry standards.*

#### **(7.1.3.4) Past years' recalculation**

Select from:

No

[Fixed row]

**(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.**

*Select all that apply*

- Global GHG Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Financial Industry (PCAF)
- ISO 14064-1

**(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.**

**(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based**

*Select from:*

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

**(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based**

*Select from:*

- We have no operations where we are able to access electricity supplier emission factors or residual emissions factors and are unable to report a Scope 2, market-based figure

**(7.3.3) Comment**

*We do not include market-based figures for contractual instruments in our reporting because these instruments are not used in the areas where we operate. Therefore, they are not relevant or applicable to our GHG reporting.  
[Fixed row]*

**(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?**

*Select from:*

- No

## **(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.**

### **Scope 1**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

7401

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*For Scope 1 emissions, only GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. In 2022, iA Financial Group's real estate holdings included 36 buildings in Canada and 2 buildings in the United States, with CO2, CH4, and N2O emission factors for Canadian buildings sourced from Canada's National Inventory Report and U.S. EPA emission factors used for buildings in the U.S. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data (such as diesel and natural gas) was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration. The method is thus adapted to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate. Fugitive emissions are calculated based on the amount and type of gas added during maintenance of refrigeration or air conditioning equipment. Emission factors used according to the types of gas detected in buildings owned by iA Financial Group. In cases where activity data is unavailable, estimates are used. Refrigerant gas estimates were based on the screening method using an average equipment capacity per fugitive gas, then applying a leakage rate from Canada's National Inventory Report.*

### **Scope 2 (location-based)**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

3317

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Scope 2 emissions include the indirect energy-related GHG emissions of the owned real estate holdings taken into account for the purposes of this calculation by iA Financial Group, which includes emissions related to the production of purchased energy, such as electricity, heat or steam. Energy consumption is calculated using the location-based method. Activity data for electricity is taken from invoices provided by electricity suppliers (e.g., Hydro-Québec for buildings located in the province of Quebec), where the amount consumed is expressed in kWh. Emission factors for electricity consumption are taken from tables A13-2 through A13-13 of Canada's most recent National Inventory Report. The most recent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission factors are used for buildings in the U.S. There is only one case of heating and steam consumption in the GHG inventory, where the activity data was taken from the invoices of the heat supplier. The consumption is expressed in kWh and multiplied by the emission factor provided by the energy supplier. In line with GHG Protocol guidelines, direct CO2 emissions resulting from the combustion of biogenic materials - including biomass, biofuels and biogas - should be excluded from Scope 1 and 2 calculations, but documented separately. Therefore excluding 147 tons of CO2-equivalent heating and steam linked to the combustion of biogas (renewable natural gas), accounted for and documented separately from this GHG balance sheet. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration according to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate.*

### **Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*not applicable*

### **Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*not applicable*

### Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*not applicable*

### Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

#### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

#### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*not applicable*

### Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

134

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*Wastewater: The methodology used is based on the estimation of CH4 and N2O emissions from wastewater treatment. In accordance with IPCC guidelines and the Greenhouse gas emissions Quantification Guide for Quebec, the organic load in wastewater was calculated based on the population (P) connected to the wastewater treatment system, i.e., the number of employees per building. Solid waste: The waste-type-specific method is used, which implies the use of emission factors for each waste type. For some buildings, the activity data comes from annual solid waste reports obtained directly from the onsite waste management company. For this activity data, the emissions calculation takes into account not only the type of waste, but also the method of waste disposal, i.e., landfilled, recycled or composted. If activity data is not available, an estimate is made based on the number of employees per building. If no activity data is available, an estimate is made based on actual data from available audit reports. An average per square foot for each type of waste is calculated. This average is then multiplied by the surface area of the building for which no activity data is available.*

## **Scope 3 category 6: Business travel**

### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

1038

### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*This category includes emissions from the transportation of employees for business related activities in vehicles owned or operated by third parties, such as airplanes, trains and cars. The distance-based method is used to determine the distance and mode of transportation for business travels. Air and train activity data was obtained from the annual report of the external travel agency. Car activity data in kilometer was obtained from employee expense reports, and the emission factor used is the average of emission factors for different car models. For transportation by car, the emission factor used is the average of the emission factors of different car models, according to the most recent report by Natural Resources Canada. For other means of transportation, emission factors from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are used.*

## Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1064

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*We used CO2 emission factors per kilometer to calculate employee commuting which includes emissions from car commuting and public transit. We used the most recent Canadian Census data on employee commuting habits for the Quebec province to determine the mode of commuting per distance from home to work for iA employees. For 2022, the averages were then multiplied by employee working days and the number of employees traveling to work to factor in the "work from anywhere" initiative. We estimated that 8% of employees came to work in the office, representing 712 employees out of a total of 8,900. The number of kilometers per employee per year was multiplied by 712 employees to calculate the total number of kilometers for cars and public transit for the entire company. We assumed that employees who travel by public transit mainly travel by bus, and other types of public transport were not considered.*

## Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2796

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*For leased buildings, the activity data is derived from the electricity and natural gas invoices when available. For most leased buildings, no information is available as the consumption data is included in the total lease cost. Therefore, an estimation was generated. The same calculation method was used than from the scope 1 and 2 emissions. The following assumptions were used when the data wasn't available: (1) The consumption of electricity and heat were calculated when the data wasn't available according to the type of building and the climate of the location. (2) The source for the consumption data is EIA. The same emissions factors than scope 1 and 2 calculations were used. For leased cars, data on kilometers traveled per model of car leased from Deragon was provided by the Department of Social Benefits.*

The emission factors per car model were retrieved from the last available Fuel Consumption Guide from Natural Resources Canada. When there was no emission factor available for the exact car model, a proxy was used.

### **Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*not applicable*

### **Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

#### **(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

#### **(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*not applicable*

### **Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products**

#### **(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*not applicable*

**Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*not applicable*

**Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets**

**(7.5.1) Base year end**

12/31/2022

**(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.5.3) Methodological details**

*not applicable*

## Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*not applicable*

## Scope 3: Other (upstream)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

*not applicable*

## Scope 3: Other (downstream)

### (7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2022

### (7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

### (7.5.3) Methodological details

not applicable  
[Fixed row]

## (7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

### Reporting year

#### (7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6757

### (7.6.3) Methodological details

*For Scope 1 emissions, only GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. In 2023, iA Financial Group's real estate holdings included 34 buildings in Canada and 2 buildings in the United States, with CO2, CH4, and N2O emission factors for Canadian buildings sourced from Canada's National Inventory Report and U.S. EPA emission factors used for buildings in the U.S. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data (such as diesel and natural gas) was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration. The method is thus adapted to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate. Fugitive emissions are calculated based on the amount and type of gas added during maintenance of refrigeration or air conditioning equipment. Emission factors used according to the types of gas detected in buildings owned by iA Financial Group. In cases where activity data is unavailable, estimates are used. Refrigerant gas estimates were based on the screening method using an average equipment capacity per fugitive gas, then applying a leakage rate from Canada's National Inventory Report. The iA Financial Group owned fleet consists of 5 vehicles in Canada and 41 vehicles in the U.S. Mobile emissions are calculated using the distance-based method, which consists of multiplying the distance travelled by the specific emission factors for each vehicle model, taken from the most recent Natural Resources Canada report.*

### Past year 1

#### (7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6918

## **(7.6.2) End date**

12/31/2023

## **(7.6.3) Methodological details**

*For Scope 1 emissions, only GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. In 2023, iA Financial Group's real estate holdings included 36 buildings in Canada and 2 buildings in the United States, with CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors for Canadian buildings sourced from Canada's National Inventory Report and U.S. EPA emission factors used for buildings in the U.S. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data (such as diesel and natural gas) was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration. The method is thus adapted to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate. Fugitive emissions are calculated based on the amount and type of gas added during maintenance of refrigeration or air conditioning equipment. Emission factors used according to the types of gas detected in buildings owned by iA Financial Group. In cases where activity data is unavailable, estimates are used. Refrigerant gas estimates were based on the screening method using an average equipment capacity per fugitive gas, then applying a leakage rate from Canada's National Inventory Report. The iA Financial Group owned fleet consists of 5 vehicles in Canada and 39 vehicles in the U.S. Mobile emissions are calculated using the distance-based method, which consists of multiplying the distance travelled by the specific emission factors for each vehicle model, taken from the most recent Natural Resources Canada report.*

## **Past year 2**

## **(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)**

7401

## **(7.6.2) End date**

12/31/2022

## **(7.6.3) Methodological details**

*For Scope 1 emissions, only GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. In 2022, iA Financial Group's real estate holdings included 36 buildings in Canada and 2 buildings in the United States, with CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors for Canadian buildings sourced from Canada's National Inventory Report and U.S. EPA emission factors used for buildings in the U.S. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data (such as diesel and natural gas) was not*

available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration. The method is thus adapted to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate. Fugitive emissions are calculated based on the amount and type of gas added during maintenance of refrigeration or air conditioning equipment. Emission factors used according to the types of gas detected in buildings owned by iA Financial Group. In cases where activity data is unavailable, estimates are used. Refrigerant gas estimates were based on the screening method using an average equipment capacity per fugitive gas, then applying a leakage rate from Canada's National Inventory Report. Due to an oversight, emissions data for mobile combustion were inadvertently omitted in 2022. It was not possible to obtain the km usage for 2022, which resulted in the addition of these emissions in 2023. Consequently, no data for 2022 is accessible. Based on the 2023 data, the percentage of mobile emissions excluded in 2022 could potentially represent 2.8% of Scope 1 emissions, which is considered insignificant in relation to the total of the GHG inventory.

[Fixed row]

## **(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?**

### **Reporting year**

#### **(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

3279

#### **(7.7.4) Methodological details**

Only the location-based method was used by iA Financial Group since the company does not possess a contractual agreement for the supply of electricity, which is a requirement for applying the market-based method. GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. Emissions include the indirect energy-related GHG emissions of the owned real estate holdings taken into account for the purposes of this calculation by iA Financial Group, which includes emissions related to the production of purchased energy, such as electricity, heat or steam. Energy consumption is calculated using the location-based method. Activity data for electricity is taken from invoices provided by electricity suppliers (e.g., Hydro-Québec for buildings located in the province of Quebec), where the amount consumed is expressed in kWh. Emission factors for electricity consumption are taken from tables A13-2 through A13-13 of Canada's most recent National Inventory Report. The most recent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission factors are used for buildings in the U.S. There is only one case of heating and steam consumption in the GHG inventory, where the activity data was taken from the invoices of the heat supplier. The consumption is expressed in kWh and multiplied by the emission factor provided by the energy supplier. In line with GHG Protocol guidelines, direct CO2 emissions resulting from the combustion of biogenic materials - including biomass, biofuels and biogas - should be excluded from Scope 1 and 2 calculations, but documented separately. Therefore excluding 176 tons of CO2-equivalent heating and steam linked to the combustion of biogas (renewable natural gas), accounted for and documented separately from this GHG balance sheet. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration according to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate.

## Past year 1

### (7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3232

### (7.7.3) End date

12/31/2023

### (7.7.4) Methodological details

*Only the location-based method was used by iA Financial Group since the company does not possess a contractual agreement for the supply of electricity, which is a requirement for applying the market-based method. GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. Emissions include the indirect energy-related GHG emissions of the owned real estate holdings taken into account for the purposes of this calculation by iA Financial Group, which includes emissions related to the production of purchased energy, such as electricity, heat or steam. Energy consumption is calculated using the location-based method. Activity data for electricity is taken from invoices provided by electricity suppliers (e.g., Hydro-Québec for buildings located in the province of Quebec), where the amount consumed is expressed in kWh. Emission factors for electricity consumption are taken from tables A13-2 through A13-13 of Canada's most recent National Inventory Report. The most recent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission factors are used for buildings in the U.S. There is only one case of heating and steam consumption in the GHG inventory, where the activity data was taken from the invoices of the heat supplier. The consumption is expressed in kWh and multiplied by the emission factor provided by the energy supplier. In line with GHG Protocol guidelines, direct CO2 emissions resulting from the combustion of biogenic materials - including biomass, biofuels and biogas - should be excluded from Scope 1 and 2 calculations, but documented separately. Therefore excluding 181 tons of CO2-equivalent heating and steam linked to the combustion of biogas (renewable natural gas), accounted for and documented separately from this GHG balance sheet. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration according to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate.*

## Past year 2

### (7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3317

### (7.7.3) End date

## (7.7.4) Methodological details

*Only the location-based method was used by iA Financial Group since the company does not possess a contractual agreement for the supply of electricity, which is a requirement for applying the market-based method. GHG emissions from the share owned by iA Financial Group are considered under the financial control consolidation approach, as some properties are not wholly owned by the group (ownership less than 100%). This means that the building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership in the Scope 1 calculations. Emissions include the indirect energy-related GHG emissions of the owned real estate holdings taken into account for the purposes of this calculation by iA Financial Group, which includes emissions related to the production of purchased energy, such as electricity, heat or steam. Energy consumption is calculated using the location-based method. Activity data for electricity is taken from invoices provided by electricity suppliers (e.g., Hydro-Québec for buildings located in the province of Quebec), where the amount consumed is expressed in kWh. Emission factors for electricity consumption are taken from tables A13-2 through A13-13 of Canada's most recent National Inventory Report. The most recent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission factors are used for buildings in the U.S. There is only one case of heating and steam consumption in the GHG inventory, where the activity data was taken from the invoices of the heat supplier. The consumption is expressed in kWh and multiplied by the emission factor provided by the energy supplier. In line with GHG Protocol guidelines, direct CO2 emissions resulting from the combustion of biogenic materials - including biomass, biofuels and biogas - should be excluded from Scope 1 and 2 calculations, but documented separately. Therefore excluding 147 tons of CO2-equivalent heating and steam linked to the combustion of biogas (renewable natural gas), accounted for and documented separately from this GHG balance sheet. In cases where source data is unavailable, estimates are used, particularly for tenant energy data, for which tenant activity data was not available. Estimates were used based on average consumption levels provided by the U.S. Energy Information Administration according to the type of building (residential, industrial, restaurant, commercial). The square footage for which activity data is not available is multiplied by the average consumption level to obtain an estimate.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

#### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

#### (7.8.5) Please explain

*iA Financial Group has identified category 1 to be analysed, but it's important to note that at this time we do not calculate the emissions for this category. However, we will be conducting an analysis to determine the materiality of these emissions in the near future.*

## Capital goods

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*iA Financial Group has identified category 2 to be analysed, but it's important to note that at this time we do not calculate the emissions for this category. However, we will be conducting an analysis to determine the materiality of these emissions in the near future.*

## Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Category 3 has been identified by iA Financial Group for analysis. It is important to note that some parts of this category, such as the generation of purchased electricity that is sold to end users, are not applicable to iA Financial Group.*

## Upstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Non applicable. As a financial institution, emissions associated with upstream and distribution categories are not considered relevant in the context of iA Financial Group scope 3 value chain emissions inventory.*

## Waste generated in operations

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

### (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

225

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Waste-type-specific method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*Wastewater: The methodology used is based on the estimation of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from wastewater treatment. In accordance with IPCC guidelines and the Greenhouse gas emissions Quantification Guide for Quebec, the organic load in wastewater was calculated based on the population (P) connected to the wastewater treatment system, i.e., the number of employees per building. Solid waste: The waste-type-specific method is used, which implies the use of emission factors for each waste type. For some buildings, the activity data comes from annual solid waste reports obtained directly from the onsite waste management company. For this activity data, the emissions calculation takes into account not only the type of waste, but also the method of waste disposal, i.e., landfilled, recycled or composted. If activity data is not available, an estimate is made based on the number of employees per building. If no activity data is available, an estimate is made based on actual data from available audit reports. An average per square foot for each type of waste is calculated. This average is then multiplied by the surface area of the building for which no activity data is available.*

## Business travel

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4622

## (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

Distance-based method

## (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

20

## (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category includes emissions from the transportation of employees for business related activities in vehicles owned or operated by third parties, such as airplanes, trains and cars. Being more precise, the distance-based method is preferred, and when data did not permit its use, the spend-based method is used. The distance-based method is used to determine the distance and mode of transportation for business travels. Air activity data was obtained from the annual report of the external travel agency, rail activity data is provided by Via Rail (Canada only). Car activity data in kilometer was obtained from employee expense reports, and the emission factor used is the average of emission factors for different car models. For transportation by car, the emission factor used is the average of the emission factors of different car models, according to the most recent report by Natural Resources Canada. For other means of transportation, emission factors from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are used. For greater data coverage, iA Financial Group added, in 2023, the spend-based method. This method involves determining dollar expenditure and mode of transport for business trips. Where activity data in distance (e.g. kilometer) is not available, then dollar data for air travel and any other transportation-related activities (e.g. parking fees) are extracted from the expense accounts. Emission factors from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are used. The result of the two methods (distance and expense) constitutes the total GHG emissions for iA Financial Group employee business travel.*

## Employee commuting

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3639

## (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Average data method
- Distance-based method

## (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

50

## (7.8.5) Please explain

*This category includes emissions from employees commuting between home and work. Emissions can come from train travel, bus travel, rental cars, employee-owned cars and other modes of travel. The most recent Canadian census data on commuting habits for the province of Quebec was used to determine employee's mode of travel by distance between home and work. This data was used to calculate the average daily distance travelled by employees by public transit and by car, in kilometres. For the year 2023, these averages were then multiplied by workplace presenteeism rate to reflect the influence of the WFA initiative on staff travel patterns. The average number of kilometres travelled by each staff member during the year 2023 (car and public transport) is therefore multiplied by the average number of staff members travelling to our workplaces in 2023, i.e., 8.1%. For transportation by car, the emission factor used is the average of the emission factors of different car models, according to the most recent report by Natural Resources Canada. For other means of transportation, emission factors from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are used.*

## Upstream leased assets

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

## (7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

3205

### (7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Average data method
- Distance-based method

### (7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*According to the financial control approach defined in the GHG Protocol, leased buildings and cars are reported in Category 8 of Scope 3. Activity data is obtained from electricity and natural gas bills where available. No information is available for most leased buildings, as the consumption data is included in the total cost of the lease. Therefore, an estimate has been made. Diesel consumption for leased buildings was estimated using the average diesel consumption per square metre for owned buildings, as primary data was not available. The average consumption was then multiplied by the area of the buildings occupied by iA Financial Group in leased buildings. Electricity and natural gas for properties leased in Canada, electricity and natural gas consumption was estimated using the average electricity and natural gas consumption per square foot of iA Financial Group's owned buildings in Canada. For properties leased in the United States, a different estimation method was used depending on the geographic location of the leased buildings. The consumption data is based on a survey conducted by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). The data was aggregated by climate zone, resulting in average natural gas and electricity consumption per square foot for each U.S. state. For all leased buildings in Canada and United States, the respective average consumption was multiplied by the square footage occupied by iA in these leased buildings. Refrigerant gas estimates were based on the screening method using an average equipment capacity per fugitive gas, then applying a leakage rate from Canada's National Inventory Report. iA Financial Group's fleet of leased vehicles is made up of 66 vehicles, 25 of which are hybrid or electric and the rest run on gasoline. Mobile emissions are calculated using the distance-based method, which consists of multiplying the distance travelled by the specific emission factors for each vehicle model, taken from the most recent Natural Resources Canada report.*

## Downstream transportation and distribution

### (7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Not relevant, explanation provided

### (7.8.5) Please explain

*As a financial institution, emissions associated with downstream and distribution categories are not considered relevant in the context of iA Financial Group scope 3 value chain emissions inventory.*

## **Processing of sold products**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*As a financial institution, emissions associated with processing of sold products and the use of sold products categories are not considered relevant in the context of iA Financial Group scope 3 value chain emissions inventory.*

## **Use of sold products**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*As a financial institution, emissions associated with processing of sold products and the use of sold products categories are not considered relevant in the context of iA Financial Group scope 3 value chain emissions inventory.*

## **End of life treatment of sold products**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*As a financial institution, emissions associated with the end-of-life of sold products categories are not considered relevant in the context of iA Financial Group scope 3 value chain emissions inventory.*

## **Downstream leased assets**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*This category does not apply to iA Financial Group. Under the financial control approach, emissions from assets owned and leased to a third party are included in Scopes 1 and 2.*

## **Franchises**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*As a financial institution, iA Financial Group does not have franchise operations, and as such, emissions associated with franchise categories are not considered relevant in the context of iA Financial Group's scope 3 value chain emissions inventory.*

## **Other (upstream)**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable*

## **Other (downstream)**

### **(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

*Select from:*

Not relevant, explanation provided

### **(7.8.5) Please explain**

*Not applicable*

*[Fixed row]*

## **(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.**

### **Past year 1**

#### **(7.8.1.1) End date**

12/31/2023

#### **(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)**

139

#### **(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)**

3075

#### **(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)**

1139

#### **(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

**Past year 2****(7.8.1.1) End date**

12/31/2022

**(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)**

134

**(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)**

1038

**(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)**

1064

**(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)**

2796

*[Fixed row]***(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.**

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	<i>Select from:</i>

	Verification/assurance status
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

**(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?**

Select from:

Decreased

**(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.**

**Change in renewable energy consumption**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*not applicable*

**Other emissions reduction activities**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*not applicable*

**Divestment**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

138

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

Decreased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.38

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*The decrease in gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) from 10,150 t CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2023 to 10,036 t CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2024 is attributable to several factors affecting emissions from owned buildings. These include building divestments and changes in occupancy, temperature, and other operational conditions. As a result, the reduced ownership of buildings in 2024 likely contributed to the overall decline in GHG emissions.*

## Acquisitions

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*not applicable*

## Mergers

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*not applicable*

**Change in output**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*not applicable*

**Change in methodology**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*not applicable*

**Change in boundary**

**(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

**(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions**

Select from:

No change

**(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)**

0

**(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation**

*not applicable*

**Change in physical operating conditions**

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

16.44

### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*In this emissions category, the increase is primarily due to a higher number of kilometers traveled by our gasoline-powered vehicles. Since 87% of our fleet runs on gasoline, and the calculation method is based on distance traveled, the rise in kilometers in 2024 compared to 2023 naturally led to an increase in emissions. However, it's important to note that this variation is minor in the grand scheme of Scope 1 and 2 emissions. Despite this localized increase, our overall Scope 1 and 2 emissions actually decreased by 1.12% compared to the previous year, confirming that the impact of this change is negligible at the aggregate level. The calculation we have done is:  $(170 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e} - 146 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e}) / 146 \text{ tCO}_2\text{e}$  resulting in an 16.44% increase in mobile emission in 2024. Where: 170 tCO<sub>2</sub>e represents the 2024 annual emissions for mobile combustion 146 tCO<sub>2</sub>e represents the 2023 annual emissions for mobile combustion*

## Unidentified

### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*not applicable*

#### Other

#### (7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

#### (7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

#### (7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

#### (7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*not applicable*

*[Fixed row]*

#### (7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

#### (7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

**(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.**

**Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)**

**(7.30.1.1) Heating value**

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

34597.62

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

34597.62

## Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

78112.29

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

30892.09

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

109004.38

## Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

1008.3

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1008.30

## Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

0

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

0.00

## Total energy consumption

### (7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

### (7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

78112.29

### (7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

66498.01

### (7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

144610.30

[Fixed row]

**(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.**

#### **Canada**

### (7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

106556.32

### (7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

### (7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1008.25

### (7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

107564.57

**United States of America**

**(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)**

2448.06

**(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)**

0

**(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)**

2448.06

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.**

**Row 1**

**(7.45.1) Intensity figure**

9.4e-7

**(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)**

10036

**(7.45.3) Metric denominator**

Select from:

unit total revenue

**(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total**

10664000000

**(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used**

Select from:

Location-based

**(7.45.6) % change from previous year**

4.4

**(7.45.7) Direction of change**

Select from:

Decreased

**(7.45.8) Reasons for change**

Select all that apply

Change in revenue

**(7.45.9) Please explain**

The absolute reduction in emissions amounts to 114 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e, decreasing from 10,150 t CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2023 to 10,036 t CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2024. Then this reduction is calculated using revenue as the intensity metric and is reported alongside a revenue decrease from \$11 billion in 2023 to \$10 billion in 2024. While the drop in revenue influences the intensity ratio, the reduction therefore results in a 4.40% decrease in emissions intensity compare to last year.

## Row 2

### (7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0015

### (7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO<sub>2</sub>e)

10036

### (7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

square foot

### (7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

6646875

### (7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Location-based

### (7.45.6) % change from previous year

1.12

### (7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

## (7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

- Divestment
- Change in physical operating conditions

## (7.45.9) Please explain

*The decrease in gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) from 10,150 t CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2023 to 10,036 t CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2024 is attributable to several factors affecting emissions from owned buildings. These include building divestments and changes in occupancy, temperature, and other operational conditions. As a result, the reduced ownership of buildings in 2024 likely contributed to the overall decline in GHG emissions. In accordance with the GHG Protocol, buildings that are no longer owned are removed from the 2022 baseline and previous inventories to ensure accurate year-over-year comparability. Emissions intensity, calculated using normalized square footage of 6,646,875 ft<sup>2</sup> for both years, shifted from 0.00153 t CO<sub>2</sub>e/ft<sup>2</sup> in 2023 to 0.00151 t CO<sub>2</sub>e/ft<sup>2</sup> in 2024, resulting in a 1.12% reduction in emissions intensity. This metric provides a more accurate reflection of performance relative to operational footprint, Emissions intensity, calculated using a normalized square footage of 6,646,875 square feet for both years, shifted from: 2023: 10,150 divided by 6,646,875 equals approx. 0.001526 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e per square foot (rounded number) 2024: 10,036 divided by 6,646,875 equals approx. 0.001510 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e per square foot (rounded number) The percentage reduction in emissions intensity is calculated as: (0.001510-0.001526) multiplied by 100, then divided by 0.001526 Which equals -1.12 %*

[Add row]

## (7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- Intensity target
- Portfolio target

### (7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

#### Row 1

##### (7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Int 2

### (7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

### (7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- 1.5°C aligned

### (7.53.2.5) Date target was set

12/14/2023

### (7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Site/facility

### (7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)

### (7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

### (7.53.2.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Location-based

**(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric**

Select from:

Metric tons CO2e per square meter

**(7.53.2.12) End date of base year**

12/31/2022

**(7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1**

0.001

**(7.53.2.14) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2**

0.0004

**(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes**

0.0014000000

**(7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure**

99

**(7.53.2.35) % of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure**

75

**(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure**

100

**(7.53.2.55) End date of target**

12/31/2035

**(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)**

60

**(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes**

0.0005600000

**(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions**

-60

**(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1**

0.001

**(7.53.2.61) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2**

0.0004

**(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes**

0.0014000000

**(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target**

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

**(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year**

0.00

**(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year**

Select from:

Underway

### (7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*iA Financial Group has revised its GHG reduction target in 2023. The new target addresses GHG emission intensity of its Canadian real estate holdings by 60% by 2035, using 2022 as the baseline year. Since then, we've achieved a 9.23% reduction, equivalent to 905 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e. This target follows the Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor (CRREM) framework, which supports climate strategies aligned with limiting global warming to 1.5°C or 2°C. In 2024, iA Financial Group owned 34 buildings across Canada. Two U.S. buildings were excluded due to limited data access, but efforts are underway to include them in future analyses.*

### (7.53.2.86) Target objective

*The target objective is to achieve a 60% reduction in GHG emission intensity from iA Financial Group's Canadian real estate holdings by 2035. This target reflects the company's commitment to significantly reducing its environmental impact and aligns with its sustainable development strategy.*

### (7.53.2.87) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

*The plan for achieving the target of a 60% reduction in GHG emission intensity from iA Financial Group's Canadian real estate holdings by 2035 is currently in progress, with feasibility studies being conducted on our real estate Canadian portfolio. At this stage, we are actively gathering information and initiating decarbonization initiatives.*

### (7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

Yes

[Add row]

## (7.53.4) Provide details of the climate-related targets for your portfolio.

### Row 1

#### (7.53.4.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Por1

#### (7.53.4.2) Target type

Select from:

- Weighted average carbon intensity

#### (7.53.4.4) Methodology used when setting the target

Select from:

- Own methodology

#### (7.53.4.5) Date target was set

12/14/2023

#### (7.53.4.6) Target is set and progress against it is tracked at

Select from:

- Portfolio level

#### (7.53.4.9) Portfolio

Select from:

- Investing (Asset manager)

#### (7.53.4.10) Asset classes covered by the target

Select all that apply

- Bonds

#### (7.53.4.12) Target type: Absolute or intensity

Select from:

- Intensity

#### (7.53.4.14) % of portfolio emissions covered by the target

**(7.53.4.16) Metric (or target numerator if intensity)**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Issuer's GHG emissions (Scope 1 + 2), measured in tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (tCO<sub>2</sub>e) from MSCI, form the numerator. Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI) normalizes this by the issuer's weight in the General Funds.

**(7.53.4.17) Target denominator**

Select from:

Other, please specify :Issuer's revenue in millions of CAD sourced from financial databases.

**(7.53.4.18) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value**

94

**(7.53.4.21) Frequency of target reviews**

Select from:

Other, please specify :We monitor progress toward our decarbonization target, comparing it to our portfolio benchmark. Formal reviews are part of governance, but our approach focuses on proactive monitoring to adjust as needed.

**(7.53.4.22) End date of base year**

12/31/2022

**(7.53.4.23) Figure in base year**

401

**(7.53.4.24) We have an interim target**

Select from:

No

#### (7.53.4.27) End date of target

12/31/2035

#### (7.53.4.28) Figure in target year

240

#### (7.53.4.29) Figure in reporting year

303

#### (7.53.4.30) % of target achieved relative to base year

60.86956521739131

#### (7.53.4.31) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

#### (7.53.4.34) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

#### (7.53.4.37) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*We have followed the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials (“PCAF”) methodology to calculate the carbon footprint of our public corporate debt portfolio. The securities in the portfolio and their market value were extracted from our database, while the enterprise value including cash (“EVIC”), company sales figures and greenhouse gas emissions, in particular scope 1 and 2 emissions, were obtained from our third-party data provider, MSCI. Following our first assessment in 2023, we have seen an increase in portfolio coverage this year. In terms of carbon intensity, the coverage rate is 92% of the public corporate debt of the General Funds. It is important to mention that our investments in public corporate bonds are limited in terms of coverage. Some private companies do not disclose information about their carbon measurements. When this information is not available, we fall back on estimated or inherited data from the parent company. While this approach broadens the coverage of our portfolio, it may give a less accurate representation of the true carbon footprint. Over time, we expect to improve data coverage and quality through*

better disclosures and regulations. In the meantime, we will continue to use these figures, while keeping in mind their limitations. Companies that are not covered by MSCI are not included in the calculation.

### **(7.53.4.38) Target objective**

40% reduction in carbon intensity of our public corporate bond portfolio by 2035 The portion of public corporate bonds subject to the commitment to decarbonization currently represents investments of around \$13.5 billion as of end of year 2022. The carbon intensity of an emitter corresponds to the tons of CO2 equivalent emitted by a company per million dollars of annual revenue. The portfolio's carbon intensity is the weighted average of the carbon intensity of each company in the portfolio, each weighted by the value of the investment in that company.

## **Row 2**

### **(7.53.4.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

Por2

### **(7.53.4.2) Target type**

Select from:

Portfolio emissions intensity

### **(7.53.4.4) Methodology used when setting the target**

Select from:

Own methodology

### **(7.53.4.5) Date target was set**

12/14/2023

### **(7.53.4.6) Target is set and progress against it is tracked at**

Select from:

Portfolio level

#### (7.53.4.9) Portfolio

Select from:

- Investing (Asset manager)

#### (7.53.4.10) Asset classes covered by the target

Select all that apply

- Real estate

#### (7.53.4.12) Target type: Absolute or intensity

Select from:

- Intensity

#### (7.53.4.14) % of portfolio emissions covered by the target

100

#### (7.53.4.16) Metric (or target numerator if intensity)

Select from:

- tCO2e

#### (7.53.4.18) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

100

#### (7.53.4.21) Frequency of target reviews

Select from:

- Other, please specify :We monitor progress toward our decarbonization target on a continuous basis. This includes ongoing analysis of the target's evolution relative to our portfolio benchmark to ensure alignment with our long-term objectives. While formal reviews are inte

#### (7.53.4.22) End date of base year

12/31/2022

#### (7.53.4.23) Figure in base year

0.001

#### (7.53.4.24) We have an interim target

Select from:

No

#### (7.53.4.27) End date of target

12/31/2035

#### (7.53.4.31) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

#### (7.53.4.34) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

#### (7.53.4.37) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

*iA Financial Group's Canadian real estate portfolio comprises 34 properties, mainly in Quebec City, Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver. Some buildings are not fully owned by iA Financial Group (less than 100%). Under the financial control consolidation approach, only emissions attributable to stationary combustion, halocarbon leaks and electricity purchases from the share held by iA Financial Group are considered. In other words, building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership. All data relating to iA Financial Group's GHG emissions are unverified to the best of our knowledge. Explanation for blank spaces (Figure in reporting year & Figure in target year): - In 2022, in absolute 9801 t co2e, which is in intensity 0.00150tco2e/sq.ft. -In 2024, in absolute 8896 t co2e, which is in intensity 0.00136 tco2e/sq.ft. - Therefore a reduction of 905 t co2e which is a reduction of 0.00014 tco2e/sq.ft (0.00136-0.00150) (-9.23% against target) - In target year 2035 we estimate that the intensity will be 0.0004 therefore achieving 100% of the reduction target planned - The field are left blank since CDP does not allowed enough decimal to provide correct information and accurate autocalculated fied by CDP Please refer to: 2024 Climate Change Performance Report, p.23, Table 4 [https://ia.ca/-/media/files/ia/developpement-durable/pdf/2025/82-140a\\_ccpr\\_report\\_2024-acc.pdf](https://ia.ca/-/media/files/ia/developpement-durable/pdf/2025/82-140a_ccpr_report_2024-acc.pdf)*

### (7.53.4.38) Target objective

60% reduction in GHG emission intensity from our Canadian real estate holdings by 2035 In Canada, iA Financial Group's real estate holdings comprise 34 buildings, primarily located in Quebec City, Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver. The carbon intensity of an asset corresponds to the tons of CO2 equivalent emitted by square meter of a building. As a major property owner, iA Financial Group measures its environmental performance based on stringent industry standards. This allows us to provide our employees and tenants with workspaces that meet the highest quality standards, thereby promoting individual health and wellbeing. Our GHG reduction target was set based on the recognized criteria of the Carbon Risk Real Estate Monitor (CRREM). These allow companies in the real estate sector to develop their sustainable development strategy by taking stock of their GHG emissions with a view to limiting global warming to 1.5 or even 2°C.

[Add row]

### (7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

No other climate-related targets

### (7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

#### (7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	60	Numeric input
To be implemented	7	205.32
Implementation commenced	1	2.32

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Implemented	7	352
Not to be implemented	3	<i>Numeric input</i>

*[Fixed row]*

**(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.**

### Row 1

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

49

#### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

#### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

11900

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

382700

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

>25 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

21-30 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Installation of six adiabatic humidifiers including reverse osmosis water treatment, demolition of steam boiler CH-1 and related components, and integration of BacNet into the centralized control system.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

162

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

27200

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

585400

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 11-15 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 21-30 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Installation of a heat pump and new fan coil units to enhance the existing heat recovery in the mechanical room on the 27th floor in two ways: by maximizing the recovery capacity and by increasing the injection temperature in the heating loop.*

**Row 3**

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

110

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

423200

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

*Select from:*

No payback

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

21-30 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Installation of an electric boiler with SCR modulating control, a peak monitoring system for optimized operation and replacement of three natural gas water heaters with electric ones.*

## Row 4

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- No payback

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 21-30 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Replacement of the coils to enable heating of the hot ducts using low-temperature hot water. The existing 2-row coils were replaced with more efficient 6-row coils.*

## Row 5

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

- Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

27.85

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

#### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

4350

#### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

21000

#### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

4-10 years

#### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

16-20 years

#### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*A natural gas-fired, non-condensing space heating boiler with approximately 83% efficiency was replaced with a high-efficiency, condensing natural gas boiler operating at 95% efficiency.*

### Row 6

#### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Maintenance program

#### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0.08

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

750

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

11109

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

11-15 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Replacement of 52 pot lights with LED fixtures as part of suite 106 build-out. This includes replacing existing potlight fixtures with LED panel lights, and installation of 13 vacancy switches. Total capital cost was \$22,218.02 excl. tax and was split with the tenant in unit 106. The cost indicated reflects the portion paid by the landlord only.*

## Row 7

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Maintenance program

### (7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3.62

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1078

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

3805

### (7.55.2.7) Payback period

*Select from:*

4-10 years

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

Replace 12 HID high-bay warehouse lights in suite 118 with 150w LEDs.

## Row 8

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

- Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

2959211

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 16-20 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Estimated annual CO2e savings, annual monetary savings and payback period data unavailable. Implemented initiatives include: 1. Replacement of domestic water pumps - Phase 2 2. Replacement of pneumatic controls and air flow 3. Corrective work on parking ventilation 4. Replacement of 6 cooling towers*

### Row 9

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

- Insulation

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

- Scope 1
- Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

- Voluntary

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

15395060

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

*Select from:*

- 21-30 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Estimated annual CO2e savings, annual monetary savings and payback period data unavailable. Implemented initiatives include: 1. Roof membrane and lean-to repairs 2. Roof refurbishment - Phase C 3. Curtain wall repairs 4. Replacement of windows - Phase A and B*

### Row 10

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Maintenance program

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

*Select all that apply*

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

*Select from:*

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

59736

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

*Select from:*

6-10 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Estimated annual CO2e savings, annual monetary savings and payback period data unavailable. Implemented initiatives include: 1. Cleaning of ventilation systems.*

## Row 11

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

86162

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Estimated annual CO2e savings, annual monetary savings and payback period data unavailable. Implemented initiatives include: 1. Modernization of BAS controls*

## Row 12

### (7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Lighting

### (7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

### (7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

### (7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

276682

### (7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

### (7.55.2.9) Comment

*Estimated annual CO2e savings, annual monetary savings and payback period data unavailable. Implemented initiatives include: 1. Relamping of mechanical room 2. Addition of lighting sweep system*  
[Add row]

### (7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

#### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*At iA Financial Group, we have a flexible and adaptive model with our Work From Anywhere (“WFA”) program. Through this program, employees have the choice to telework or come to the office which has significantly reduced the impact of employee commutes. In light of this major change, the organization is reviewing its real estate occupancy, which has a direct impact on material resources and procurement.*

## Row 2

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Certifications for Best Practices

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*As a major property owner, iA Financial Group measures environmental performance based on BOMA BEST (Building Environmental Standards) and LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) criteria. Our decision making and project planning for site selection, leasing, space design, operations and decommissioning take into consideration these best practices. This allows us to provide our employees and tenants with workspaces that meet stringent indoor and outdoor environmental quality standards and support peoples’ health and wellness.*

## Row 3

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Strategic planning

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*iA Financial Group is working on integrating the climate strategy, including decarbonization and financial projections, into its strategic planning.*

## Row 4

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Each year, iA Financial Group ensures that a budget is set aside specifically for improving the energy efficiency of our real estate investment portfolio. This budget must allow the implementation of initiatives such as GHG reduction, environmental impact improvement, return on investment, etc.*

## Row 5

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Internal incentives/recognition programs

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Incentives to reduce employees emissions include discount for public transportation for certain subsidiaries. Most of our offices provide employees with parking spaces equipped with electric terminals. We have free indoor and outdoor bicycle storage spaces. We have reserved parking spaces for carpoolers. Special lockers for employees who commute using active transportation have also been added. Employees who commute using alternative transportation (bus or carpool) can be provided a cab at the company's expense to leave work if an emergency situation arises. At iA Financial Group we maintain and promote our flexible and hybrid work program, which minimizes our employees' travel.*

## Row 6

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*To the best of its knowledge, iA Financial Group complies with all regulatory and code requirements for energy efficiency, and mandatory energy and waste reporting and disclosure in the jurisdictions that we operate.*

## Row 7

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Reduction in the use of printers and number of printings

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Following the roll-out of the WFA program, which reduced our consumption of electricity, consumables, paper and the host of activities required to maintain our printer fleet. The adoption of a modern QR code printing solution further contributed to this trend, reducing the number of documents printed erroneously. In 2024, we succeeded in achieving our goals of reducing the number of printers in our offices to the desired level. As regards the United States, we began moving part of our print management operations back in-house between October 2023 and June 2024, strengthening print security in our centralized tools and gaining a better understanding of our print needs. In the coming years, we plan to launch new measures to reduce our consumption of printed documents. These measures will include programs to analyze print content in order to limit unnecessary printing, awareness campaigns to encourage more responsible use of printing, and the deployment of digital solutions to reduce printing needs.*

## Row 8

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Recovering and recycling its outdated computer and mobile equipments

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*Since 2018, iA Financial Group has been recovering and recycling its outdated computer equipment through a partnership with an external firm. The equipment collected from our offices is monitored until it is securely destroyed or put back into circulation after it is cleaned and emptied of its content. Components from devices that cannot be reused are sold to partners who specialize in recycling them. In 2024, iA Financial Group recycled 3,630 pieces of computer equipment, saving 212 tons of CO2 equivalent. iA Financial Group has implemented a more precise process for recovering and recycling the company's mobile devices. In 2024, iA Financial Group recycled 874 cell phones, saving 8 tons of CO2 equivalent. iA Financial Group also donates computer equipment to charities. Computer equipment (such as laptops, monitors, mice and keyboards) that are in good condition, but not used by employees, is cleaned by an external firm to be donated to charities. In 2024, 5 laptops, 25 monitors, 5 docking stations, an interactive screen and other accessories such as mice, headsets and keyboards were donated.*

## Row 9

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Employee engagement

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*We encourage employees to take care of their physical health in a variety of ways. To this end, at its headquarters, iA Financial Group offers physical activity classes (yoga, pilates and strength training, etc.). In 2024, we extended the pilot project for free group classes. In 2024, iA Financial Group employees once again took up a new sports challenge using a third-party mobile app. We used this same mobile app to launch a quiz alongside the sports challenge, to raise employee awareness of various sustainability issues. Over 1,470 employees took part in the challenge, covering a total of more than 176,492 kilometres. To encourage our employees to go even further, we also added a solidarity component to this project. Having exceeded our target we donated \$15,000, which was shared between two charities: EcoSchools Canada and Houston Advanced Research Center (USA).*

## Row 10

### (7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

### (7.55.3.2) Comment

*We are planning to conduct a waste characterization in the next few years to better manage it and reduce our environmental footprint. Composting has been partially integrated into our Vancouver and Quebec City offices. Our Toronto and Montreal offices also participate in various recycling programs. In Quebec City, Maison Revivre recovers a portion of the cafeteria's surplus food every weekend.*

[Add row]

## (7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

- No

## C12. Environmental performance - Financial Services

### (12.1) Does your organization measure the impact of your portfolio on the environment?

#### Investing (Asset manager)

##### (12.1.1) We measure the impact of our portfolio on the climate

Select from:

Yes

##### (12.1.2) Disclosure metric

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :We do not disclose these metrics.

##### (12.1.11) We measure the impact of our portfolio on biodiversity

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to do so in the next two years

##### (12.1.12) Primary reason for not measuring portfolio impact on biodiversity

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

##### (12.1.13) Explain why your organization does not measure its portfolio impact on biodiversity

*Currently, due to resource constraints, we do not have specific processes in place to measure the impact of our portfolio on climate and biodiversity within our investment fund portfolio. Additionally, we are monitoring and waiting for further developments in the regulatory environment. However, we aim at addressing this issue and working toward developing the necessary processes and structure to measure our portfolio's impact on climate and biodiversity in the future. While we do not have formal measurement processes in place, we are leveraging insights and knowledge gained from analyzing the general fund to inform our ad-hoc assessments of climate impact within our portfolio.*

## Investing (Asset owner)

### (12.1.1) We measure the impact of our portfolio on the climate

Select from:

Yes

### (12.1.2) Disclosure metric

Select all that apply

Financed emissions

Other carbon footprinting and/or exposure metrics (as defined by TCFD)

### (12.1.11) We measure the impact of our portfolio on biodiversity

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to do so in the next two years

### (12.1.12) Primary reason for not measuring portfolio impact on biodiversity

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (12.1.13) Explain why your organization does not measure its portfolio impact on biodiversity

*Currently, due to resource constraints, we do not have specific processes in place to measure the impact of our portfolio on biodiversity within our portfolio. To address this gap, we are in the process of meeting third-party provider to help us measure and monitor the biodiversity impact of our portfolio as well as developing a robust framework.*

## Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)

### (12.1.1) We measure the impact of our portfolio on the climate

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to do so in the next two years

### (12.1.3) Primary reason for not measuring portfolio impact on climate

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (12.1.4) Explain why your organization does not measure its portfolio impact on climate

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required*

### (12.1.11) We measure the impact of our portfolio on biodiversity

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to do so in the next two years

### (12.1.12) Primary reason for not measuring portfolio impact on biodiversity

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (12.1.13) Explain why your organization does not measure its portfolio impact on biodiversity

*Currently, due to resource constraints, we do not have specific processes in place to measure the impact of our portfolio on biodiversity within our portfolio.  
[Fixed row]*

## (12.1.1) Provide details of your organization's financed emissions in the reporting year and in the base year.

### Investing (Asset owner)

#### (12.1.1.1) Asset classes covered in the calculation

Select all that apply

- Bonds

### (12.1.1.2) Financed emissions (metric unit tons CO2e) in the reporting year

1006657

### (12.1.1.3) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

87

### (12.1.1.4) Total value of assets included in the financed emissions calculation

49838820000.00

### (12.1.1.6) Emissions calculation methodology

Select from:

The Global GHG Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Financial Industry (PCAF)

### (12.1.1.8) Financed emissions (metric unit tons CO2e) in the base year

1093031

### (12.1.1.9) Base year end

12/31/2022

### (12.1.1.11) Please explain the details of and assumptions used in your calculation

*We have followed the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials ("PCAF") methodology to calculate the carbon footprint of our public corporate debt portfolio. The securities in the portfolio and their market value were extracted from our database, while the enterprise value including cash ("EVIC"), company sales figures and greenhouse gas emissions, in particular scope 1 and 2 emissions, were obtained from our third-party data provider, MSCI.*

*[Fixed row]*

## (12.1.2) Disclose or restate your financed emissions for previous years.

### Past year 1 for Investing (Asset owner)

### (12.1.2.1) End Date

12/31/2023

### (12.1.2.2) Financed emissions (metric unit tons CO2e) in the reporting year

858495

### (12.1.2.3) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

87

### (12.1.2.5) Emissions calculation methodology

Select from:

The Global GHG Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Financial Industry (PCAF)

### (12.1.2.6) Please explain the details of and assumptions used in your calculation

*We have followed the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials ("PCAF") methodology to calculate the carbon footprint of our public corporate debt portfolio. The securities in the portfolio and their market value were extracted from our database, while the enterprise value including cash ("EVIC"), company sales figures and greenhouse gas emissions, in particular scope 1 and 2 emissions, were obtained from our third-party data provider, MSCI.*

## Past year 2 for Investing (Asset owner)

### (12.1.2.1) End Date

12/31/2022

### (12.1.2.2) Financed emissions (metric unit tons CO2e) in the reporting year

1093031

### (12.1.2.3) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

87

### (12.1.2.5) Emissions calculation methodology

Select from:

- The Global GHG Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Financial Industry (PCAF)

### (12.1.2.6) Please explain the details of and assumptions used in your calculation

*We have followed the Partnership for Carbon Accounting Financials ("PCAF") methodology to calculate the carbon footprint of our public corporate debt portfolio. The securities in the portfolio and their market value were extracted from our database, while the enterprise value including cash ("EVIC"), company sales figures and greenhouse gas emissions, in particular scope 1 and 2 emissions, were obtained from our third-party data provider, MSCI.*

*[Fixed row]*

### (12.1.3) Provide details of the other metrics used to track the impact of your portfolio on the environment.

#### Climate change

#### (12.1.3.1) Portfolio

Select from:

- Investing (Asset owner)

#### (12.1.3.2) Portfolio metric

Select from:

- Weighted average carbon intensity (tCO2e/Million revenue)

#### (12.1.3.3) Metric value in the reporting year

303

#### (12.1.3.4) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

94

### (12.1.3.5) Total value of assets included in the calculation

13500000000

### (12.1.3.6) % of emissions calculated using data obtained from clients/investees

0

### (12.1.3.7) Please explain the details and key assumptions used in your assessment

*Carbon intensity was calculated as the amount of carbon emissions in total carbon dioxide content (tCO<sub>2</sub>) per million in revenue (CAD). Although not required by the PCAF, we have included weighted average carbon intensity (WACI), which is carbon intensity normalized by its weight in the General Funds. It is important to mention that our investments in public corporate bonds are limited in terms of coverage. Some private companies do not disclose information about their carbon measurements. When this information is not available, we fall back on estimated or inherited data from the parent company. While this approach broadens the coverage of our portfolio, it may give a less accurate representation of the true carbon footprint. Over time, we expect to improve data coverage and quality through better disclosures and regulations. In the meantime, we will continue to use these figures, while keeping in mind their limitations. Companies that are not covered by MSCI are not included in the calculation. In terms of carbon intensity, the coverage ratio is 94% of the public corporate debt of the General Funds*

## Climate change

### (12.1.3.1) Portfolio

Select from:

Investing (Asset owner)

### (12.1.3.2) Portfolio metric

Select from:

Other metric for impact on climate change please specify :Carbon Intensity

### (12.1.3.3) Metric value in the reporting year

0.001

### (12.1.3.4) % of portfolio covered in relation to total portfolio value

**(12.1.3.6) % of emissions calculated using data obtained from clients/investees**

0

**(12.1.3.7) Please explain the details and key assumptions used in your assessment**

*iA Financial Group's Canadian real estate portfolio comprises 36 properties, mainly in Quebec City, Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver. Some buildings are not fully owned by iA Financial Group (less than 100%). Under the financial control consolidation approach, only emissions attributable to stationary combustion, halocarbon leaks and electricity purchases from the share held by iA Financial Group are considered. In other words, building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership. All data relating to iA Financial Group's GHG emissions are unverified to the best of our knowledge.*

*[Add row]*

**(12.2) Are you able to provide a breakdown of your organization's financed emissions and other portfolio carbon footprinting metrics?****Investing (Asset manager)****(12.2.1) Portfolio breakdown**

*Select all that apply*

None of the above and we don't plan to do this

**(12.2.2) Please explain why you do not provide a breakdown of your portfolio impact on the climate**

*At this stage, we disclose financed emissions at the asset owner level for the portion of assets covered under our decarbonization target. Internally, we calculate carbon footprint metrics for individual portfolios to monitor carbon-related risks and to provide this information to clients. However, we do not currently calculate financed emissions at an aggregate level across all portfolios, nor do we disclose such figures in our sustainability report. We continue to evaluate best practices and industry standards to determine the most effective and transparent approach for future reporting.*

**Investing (Asset owner)****(12.2.1) Portfolio breakdown**

Select all that apply

Yes, by asset class

[Fixed row]

**(12.2.1) Break down your organization's financed emissions and other portfolio carbon footprinting metrics by asset class, by industry, and/or by scope.**

**Row 1**

**(12.2.1.1) Portfolio**

Select from:

Investing (Asset owner)

**(12.2.1.2) Portfolio metric**

Select from:

Weighted average carbon intensity (tCO2e/Million revenue)

**(12.2.1.4) Asset class**

Select from:

Bonds

**(12.2.1.6) % of asset class emissions calculated in the reporting year based on total value of assets**

28

**(12.2.1.7) Value of assets covered in the calculation**

13500000

**(12.2.1.8) Financed emissions or alternative metric**

### (12.2.1.9) Are you able to provide the gross exposure for your undrawn loan commitment separately from the drawn loan commitment?

Select from:

Not applicable

### (12.2.1.12) Please explain the details, assumptions and exclusions in your calculation

*Carbon intensity was calculated as the amount of carbon emissions in total carbon dioxide content (tCO<sub>2</sub>) per million in revenue (CAD). Although not required by the PCAF, we have included weighted average carbon intensity (WACI), which is carbon intensity normalized by its weight in the General Funds. It is important to mention that our investments in public corporate bonds are limited in terms of coverage. Some private companies do not disclose information about their carbon measurements. When this information is not available, we fall back on estimated or inherited data from the parent company. While this approach broadens the coverage of our portfolio, it may give a less accurate representation of the true carbon footprint. Over time, we expect to improve data coverage and quality through better disclosures and regulations. In the meantime, we will continue to use these figures, while keeping in mind their limitations. Companies that are not covered by MSCI are not included in the calculation. In terms of carbon intensity, the coverage ratio is 94% of the public corporate debt of the General Funds*

## Row 2

### (12.2.1.1) Portfolio

Select from:

Investing (Asset owner)

### (12.2.1.2) Portfolio metric

Select from:

Absolute portfolio emissions (tCO<sub>2</sub>e)

### (12.2.1.4) Asset class

Select from:

Real estate

### (12.2.1.6) % of asset class emissions calculated in the reporting year based on total value of assets

100

### (12.2.1.7) Value of assets covered in the calculation

1600000000

### (12.2.1.8) Financed emissions or alternative metric

8896

### (12.2.1.9) Are you able to provide the gross exposure for your undrawn loan commitment separately from the drawn loan commitment?

Select from:

Not applicable

### (12.2.1.12) Please explain the details, assumptions and exclusions in your calculation

*iA Financial Group's Canadian real estate portfolio comprises 36 properties, mainly in Quebec City, Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver. Some buildings are not fully owned by iA Financial Group (less than 100%). Under the financial control consolidation approach, only emissions attributable to stationary combustion, halocarbon leaks and electricity purchases from the share held by iA Financial Group are considered. In other words, building activity data is multiplied by the percentage of ownership. All data relating to iA Financial Group's GHG emissions are unverified to the best of our knowledge.*

[Add row]

### (12.3) State the values of your financing and insurance of fossil fuel assets in the reporting year.

#### Investing in all fossil fuel assets (Asset manager)

### (12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### (12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### (12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors. The process involved establishing a robust internal taxonomy and methodology to clearly identify and track the sectors we include. Due to resource constraints, lack of standardized definitions, and complexity across asset classes, these numbers are undisclosed.*

### Investing in thermal coal (Asset manager)

### (12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### (12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### (12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors however we do not plan to publicly disclose this information in the near future.*

### Investing in met coal (Asset manager)

### (12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### (12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### (12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors however we do not plan to publicly disclose this information in the near future.*

### Investing in oil (Asset manager)

### (12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### (12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### (12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors however we do not plan to publicly disclose this information in the near future.*

### Investing in gas (Asset manager)

### (12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### (12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors however we do not plan to publicly disclose this information in the near future.*

### **Investing all fossil fuel assets (Asset owner)**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, but we plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors. The process involved establishing a robust internal taxonomy and methodology to clearly identify and track the sectors we include. Due to lack of standardized definitions, and complexity across asset classes, these numbers are not disclosed at the moment.*

### **Investing in thermal coal (Asset owner)**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, but we plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors. The process involved establishing a robust internal taxonomy and methodology to clearly identify and track the sectors we include. Due to lack of standardized definitions, and complexity across asset classes, these numbers are not disclosed at the moment.*

### **Investing in met coal (Asset owner)**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors however we do not plan to publicly disclose this information in the near future.*

### **Investing in oil (Asset owner)**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, but we plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors. The process involved establishing a robust internal taxonomy and methodology to clearly identify and track the sectors we include. Due to lack of standardized definitions, and complexity across asset classes, these numbers are not disclosed at the moment.*

### **Investing in gas (Asset owner)**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, but we plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*We are continuously mapping and following our investment portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive sectors. The process involved establishing a robust internal taxonomy and methodology to clearly identify and track the sectors we include. Due to lack of standardized definitions, and complexity across asset classes, these numbers are not disclosed at the moment.*

### **Insuring all fossil fuel assets**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required."*

## **Insuring thermal coal**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required."*

## **Insuring met coal**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

Select from:

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required."*

## **Insuring oil**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

*Select from:*

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Select from:*

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required."*

## **Insuring gas**

### **(12.3.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of fossil fuel assets**

*Select from:*

- No, and we do not plan to report our portfolio's exposure to fossil fuel in the next two years

### **(12.3.7) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Select from:*

- Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### **(12.3.8) Please explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance to fossil fuel assets**

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required."*

*[Fixed row]*

**(12.5) In the reporting year, did your organization finance and/or insure activities or sectors that are aligned with, or eligible under, a sustainable finance taxonomy? If so, are you able to report the values of that financing and/or underwriting?**

**Investing (Asset manager)**

### **(12.5.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of activities or sectors that are eligible under or aligned with a sustainable finance taxonomy**

*Select from:*

No, and we do not plan to report in the next two years

### **(12.5.35) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance**

*Select from:*

Not an immediate strategic priority

### **(12.5.36) Explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance**

*While mapping our portfolio to a sustainable finance taxonomy is currently not among our short-term priorities, we are monitoring the regulatory development in Canada and aim for alignment with the evolving regulatory framework.*

**Investing (Asset owner)**

### **(12.5.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of activities or sectors that are eligible under or aligned with a sustainable finance taxonomy**

Select from:

Yes

### (12.5.2) Taxonomy under which portfolio alignment is being reported

Select from:

Other, please specify :Climate Bond Initiative

### (12.5.3) Total assets in your portfolio (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

57286000000.00

### (12.5.26) Total assets aligned with the taxonomy in the reporting year

300000000

### (12.5.27) % of portfolio that is aligned with the taxonomy in the reporting year

1

### (12.5.28) Description of assets excluded from alignment calculation and reasons for exclusion

*Assets which are not in iA Financial Group Sustainability bond use of proceed.*

### (12.5.32) "Do No Significant Harm" requirements met

Select from:

Yes

### (12.5.33) Details of "Do No Significant Harm" analysis

*Under the Sustainability Bond Framework, iA Financial Group intends to allocate an amount equal to the net proceeds of each Sustainability Bond to finance or re-finance, in part or in full, expenditures or investments that meet the Eligibility Criteria within each of the Green Eligible Categories and Social Eligible Categories. Where the Eligible Asset is a loan or investment in a business, the business must derive 90% or more of its revenues from activities that meet the Eligibility Criteria.*

### (12.5.34) Details of calculation

iA Financial Group has obtained a second party opinion (the “Second Party Opinion”) from Sustainalytics that the Sustainability Bond Framework aligns with the ICMA Sustainability Bond Guidelines 2021, Green Bond Principles 2021 (the “Green Bond Principles”) and Social Bond Principles 2021 (the “Social Bond Principles”). The Second Party Opinion is available on Sustainalytics’ website and our website. As recommended by the Green Bond Principles, iA Financial Group publicly reports on the use of proceeds and impact statistics for the Eligible Assets that received funding under the Sustainability Bond Framework until full allocation.

## Insurance underwriting (Insurance company)

### (12.5.1) Reporting values of the financing and/or insurance of activities or sectors that are eligible under or aligned with a sustainable finance taxonomy

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to report in the next two years

### (12.5.35) Primary reason for not providing values of the financing and/or insurance

Select from:

Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

### (12.5.36) Explain why you are not providing values of the financing and/or insurance

*Due to limited resources and priorities, this particular issue has not been assessed yet and will not be prioritized in the next two years. We will reconsider our position and allocation of resources once an assessment has been conducted to measure the impact and efforts required.*

[Fixed row]

## (12.6) Do any of your existing products and services enable clients to mitigate and/or adapt to the effects of environmental issues?

	Existing products and services enable clients to mitigate and/or adapt to the effects of environmental issues
	Select from:

	Existing products and services enable clients to mitigate and/or adapt to the effects of environmental issues
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

**(12.6.1) Provide details of your existing products and services that enable clients to mitigate and/or adapt to the effects of environmental issues, including any taxonomy or methodology used to classify the products and services.**

**Row 1**

**(12.6.1.1) Environmental issue**

Select all that apply

- Climate change

**(12.6.1.2) Product/service enables clients to mitigate and/or adapt to climate change**

Select all that apply

- Mitigation
- Adaptation

**(12.6.1.3) Portfolio**

Select from:

- Investing (Asset manager)

**(12.6.1.4) Asset class**

Select from:

- Equity investments

### (12.6.1.5) Type of product classification

Select all that apply

- Products that promote environmental and/or social characteristics
- Products that have sustainable investment as their core objective

### (12.6.1.6) Taxonomy or methodology used to identify product characteristics

Select all that apply

- Internally classified

### (12.6.1.7) Type of solution financed, invested in or insured

Select all that apply

- Emerging climate technology, please specify
- Energy efficiency measures
- Renewable energy

### (12.6.1.8) Description of product/service

*Individual Client Customization: On an individual client level, we provide tailored investment policies that align with the client's specific climate and ESG objectives, as the case may be. This approach ensures that the portfolio reflects the client's unique sustainability goals and risk tolerance, thereby supporting their broader ESG commitments. On the retail side, we offer a diverse array of sustainable investment options, including socially responsible investment (SRI) funds and ESG funds. These funds are designed to generate positive impacts on society and the environment while delivering competitive financial returns.*

### (12.6.1.10) % of asset value aligned with a taxonomy or methodology

100

### (12.6.1.11) Product considers principal adverse impacts on environmental factors

Select from:

- No

## Row 2

### (12.6.1.1) Environmental issue

*Select all that apply*

- Climate change

### (12.6.1.2) Product/service enables clients to mitigate and/or adapt to climate change

*Select all that apply*

- Mitigation
- Adaptation

### (12.6.1.3) Portfolio

*Select from:*

- Investing (Asset manager)

### (12.6.1.4) Asset class

*Select from:*

- Bonds

### (12.6.1.5) Type of product classification

*Select all that apply*

- Products that promote environmental and/or social characteristics
- Products that have sustainable investment as their core objective

### (12.6.1.6) Taxonomy or methodology used to identify product characteristics

*Select all that apply*

- Internally classified

### (12.6.1.7) Type of solution financed, invested in or insured

Select all that apply

- Green buildings and equipment
- Low-emission transport
- Renewable energy

### (12.6.1.8) Description of product/service

*We adopt a dual approach to help clients address environmental issues through our products and services: For our principal client, which is the general account of iA Financial Group, we are developing and refining processes to systematically integrate climate risk considerations into our investment strategy. This involves conducting comprehensive climate risk assessments and embedding these insights into our decision-making processes. For instance, we have announced a decarbonization target for our corporate fixed income and real estate portfolios. Understanding the need of our client guided us toward using an intensity-based approach. This way, we aim to enhance the resilience and sustainability of our investments, while carefully analyzing climate-related risks and opportunities throughout this transition. Individual Client Customization: On an individual client level, we provide tailored investment policies that align with the client's specific climate and ESG objectives, as the case may be. This approach ensures that the portfolio reflects the client's unique sustainability goals and risk tolerance, thereby supporting their broader ESG commitments. On the retail side, we offer a diverse array of sustainable investment options, including socially responsible investment (SRI) funds and ESG funds. These funds are designed to generate positive impacts on society and the environment while delivering competitive financial returns.*

### (12.6.1.9) % of portfolio aligned with a taxonomy or methodology in relation to total portfolio value

94

### (12.6.1.10) % of asset value aligned with a taxonomy or methodology

100

### (12.6.1.11) Product considers principal adverse impacts on environmental factors

Select from:

- Yes

### (12.6.1.12) Details on how the principal adverse impacts on environmental factors are considered in this product

*We identify sector-specific or issuer-specific material ESG and environmental issues. These issues could have an impact on the financial performance of the issuers in which we invest. Consideration of these issues is part of our fiduciary duty. The risk and opportunity seeking is consistent with a manager's fiduciary duty to*

*consider all relevant information and material risks in investment analysis and decision making. More complex processes exist for certain asset class where these risk could have a lasting impact. The scope of the analysis involves understanding the ESG risk exposure of the company, aligning closely with the SASB materiality map, and subsequently evaluating the firm's management of these risks. The objective is to assess if these risks could potentially materialize into financial risks in the future. With our carbon intensity reduction target, we aim to manage our climate-related risk management through a reduce exposure (or exposure to best-in-class issuers) in carbon-intensive sectors*

*[Add row]*

## C13. Further information & sign off

**(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?**

**(13.1.1) Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party**

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years

**(13.1.2) Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party**

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

**(13.1.3) Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party**

*The environmental information included in our CDP response is not verified by a third party at this time because we have not yet assessed the relevance of doing so. Our current strategic priority is to have third-party assurance for our GHG emissions, which aligns with our sustainability goals and priorities.*  
[Fixed row]

**(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.**

**(13.3.1) Job title**

*Vice-President, Investor Relations, Sustainability and Public Affairs*

**(13.3.2) Corresponding job category**

Select from:

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

[Fixed row]